

# SOME RESULTS ON LOCAL COHOMOLOGY OF POLYNOMIAL AND FORMAL POWER SERIES RINGS: THE ONE DIMENSIONAL CASE

PHAM HUNG QUY

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove several results on the finiteness of local cohomology of polynomial and formal power series rings. In particular, we give a partial affirmative answer for a question of L. Núñez-Betancourt in [J. Algebra 399 (2014), 770–781].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The motivation of this paper is the following conjecture of G. Lyubeznik: If  $R$  is a regular ring, then each local cohomology module  $H_I^i(R)$  has finitely many associated prime ideals. The Lyubeznik conjecture has affirmative answers in several cases: for regular rings of prime characteristic (cf. [7, 9]); for regular local and affine rings of characteristic zero (cf. [8]); for unramified regular local rings of mixed characteristic (cf. [11, 13]) and for smooth  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras (cf. [2]). The method of the proof of these results is considering the module structure of local cohomology over non-commutative rings,  $D$ -modules (resp.  $F$ -modules). The finiteness of these module structures (for example, finite length) yields the finiteness of  $\text{Ass}_S H_I^i(R)$ .

Motivated by the above finiteness results, M. Hochster raised the following related question (cf. [14, Question 1.1]):

**Question 1.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring and  $S$  a flat extension of  $R$  with regular closed fiber. Then is

$$\text{Ass}_S H_{\mathfrak{m}S}^0(H_I^i(S)) = V(\mathfrak{m}S) \cap \text{Ass}_S H_I^i(S)$$

finite for every ideal  $I \subset S$  and for every integer  $i \geq 0$ ?

Suppose  $S$  is a flat extension of  $R$  with regular fibers. It is worth to note that if Question 1 has an affirmative answer, then the finiteness conditions of  $\text{Ass}_S H_I^i(S)$  and  $\text{Ass}_R H_I^i(S)$  are equivalent. In [14], L. Núñez-Betancourt gave a positive answer for Question 1 when  $S$  is either  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  or  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  and  $\dim R/(I \cap R) \leq 1$ . In that paper, he introduced the notion of  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -modules. It should be noted that  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -modules maybe not have finite length but they have finitely many associated primes. Núñez-Betancourt asked the following question (cf. [14, Question 5.1]).

**Question 2.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring and  $S$  either  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  or  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . Then is  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i H_J^j(S)$   $\Sigma$ -finite for every ideal  $J \subset S$  and  $i, j \geq 0$ ?

---

*Key words and phrases.* Local cohomology;  $D$ -module; Associated prime ideal.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 13D45; 13N10; 13E99; 13B25; 13J05.

This work is partially supported by a fund of Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.04-2014.25.

Throughout this paper, let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian ring and  $S$  be either  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  or  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ . In Section 3 we modify the definition of  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -modules for rings that not necessarily local rings. We prove that  $H_J^j(S)$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite for every ideal  $J \subseteq S$  satisfying  $\dim R/(J \cap R) = 0$  (cf. Proposition 3.7). Applying this result we give a positive answer for Question 2 when  $\dim R/(J \cap R) \leq 1$  (cf. Theorem 3.8). Moreover, a finiteness result of associated primes of local cohomology is given (cf. Corollary 3.9).

In Section 4 we consider the following problem.

**Question 3.** Suppose that  $\dim R = 1$  and  $S$  is either  $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  or  $R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ . Is it true that  $H_J^i(S)$  has only finitely many associated primes for all ideals  $J$  of  $S$  and all  $i \geq 0$ ?

By the work of B. Bhatt et al. [2] Question 3 has a positive answer when  $S = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . The next interesting case of Lyubeznik's conjecture is seem to be the case  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $R$  is a Dedekind domain (containing the field of rational numbers). This is a special case of Question 3. In this section we will give a partial affirmative answer of Question 3 in the case  $R$  contains a field of positive characteristic (cf. Proposition 4.4). It should be noted that H. Dao and the author showed that local cohomology of Stanley-Reisner rings over a field of positive characteristic have only finitely many associated primes, see [4] for a more general result (see also [6]). Finally, the readers are encouraged to [15, 16] for some results about the finiteness of associated primes of local cohomology of polynomial and power series rings over a normal domain containing a field of zero characteristic.

## 2. PRELIMINARY

In this section we collect some basic facts on rings of differential operators and  $D$ -modules. Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and  $S = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  or  $S = R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ .

**Rings of differential operators.** Let  $D(S, R)$  (or  $D$  if there is no confusion) be the ring of  $R$ -linear differential operators of  $S$ . The ring  $D(S, R)$  is defined by recursion as follows. The differential operators of order zero are the morphisms induced by multiplying by elements in  $S$ . An element  $\delta \in \text{Hom}_R(S, S)$  is a differential operator of order less than or equal to  $k + 1$  if  $[\delta, s] := \delta \circ s - s \circ \delta$  is a differential operator of order less than or equal to  $k$  for every  $s \in S = \text{Hom}_S(S, S)$ . Notice that  $D(S, R)$  is not a commutative ring, but  $R$  is contained in the center of  $D(S, R)$ . In our cases  $S = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  or  $S = R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$ , it is well known that (see [5, Theorem 16.12.1])

$$D(S, R) = S \left[ \frac{1}{t!} \frac{\partial^t}{\partial x_i^t} \mid t \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq n \right] \subseteq \text{Hom}_R(S, S).$$

**Homomorphic.** Let  $R'$  be another ring with  $\phi : R \rightarrow R'$  a homomorphism of rings. Let  $S'$  be either  $R'[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  or  $R'[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ , respectively. Then  $\phi$  induces a homomorphism between rings of differential operators  $\Phi : D(S, R) \rightarrow D(S', R')$ . In particular, we have a natural surjection  $D(S, R) \rightarrow D(S/IS, R/I)$  for every ideal  $I \subset R$ .

*Example 2.1* (of  $D$ -modules). (i) It is well known that  $S$  is a  $D$ -module.

(ii) Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then  $M[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cong R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \otimes_R M$  (resp.  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \otimes_R M$  and  $M[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ ) are  $D$ -modules. In particular for each  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$  we have  $(R/\mathfrak{m})[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  (resp.  $(R/\mathfrak{m})[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ ) are  $D$ -modules of finite length.

- (iii) If  $M$  is a  $D$ -module then its localization and local cohomology of  $M$  are  $D$ -modules.
- (iv) In [10], Lyubeznik defined the subcategory of the category of  $D(S, R)$ -modules, says  $C(S, R)$ , is the smallest subcategory of  $D(S, R)$ -modules that contains  $S_f$  for all  $f \in S$  and that is closed under taking submodules, quotients and extensions. In particular, the kernel, image and cokernel of a morphism of  $D(S, R)$ -modules that belongs to  $C(S, R)$  are also objects in  $C(S, R)$ . Notice that  $H_{I_k}^{i_k} \cdots H_{I_1}^{i_1}(S)$  is an object in  $C(S, R)$ . The critical fact for the study of the finiteness of local cohomology is that every module in  $C(S, R)$  has finite length as a  $D$ -module provided  $R$  is a field (see [10, Corollary 6]).

### 3. $\Sigma$ -FINITE $D$ -MODULES

First, we give the definition of  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -modules. Notice that we do not assume  $R$  is local as [14]. Let  $M$  be a  $D$ -module, we denote by  $\text{Fin}(M)$  the set of all  $D$ -submodules of  $M$  that have finite length. Let  $N$  be a  $D$ -module of finite length. There is a filtration of submodules  $0 = N_0 \subset N_1 \subset \cdots \subset N_h = N$  such that  $N_i/N_{i-1}$  is a nonzero simple  $D$ -module for all  $i = 1, \dots, h$ . The factors,  $N_i/N_{i-1}$ , are the same, up to permutation and isomorphism, for every filtration. We denote that set of factors by  $\mathcal{C}(N)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $M$  be a  $D$ -module such that  $\text{Supp}_R(M) \subseteq \text{Max}(R)$ . We say that  $M$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite if

- (i)  $\bigcup_{N \in \text{Fin}(M)} N = M$ ,
- (ii)  $\bigcup_{N \in \text{Fin}(M)} \mathcal{C}(N)$  is finite, and
- (iii) For every  $N \in \text{Fin}(M)$  and  $L \in \mathcal{C}(N)$ ,  $L \in C(S/\mathfrak{m}S, R/\mathfrak{m})$  for some  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(M)$ .

If  $M$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite, we denote  $\mathcal{C}(M) := \bigcup_{N \in \text{Fin}(M)} \mathcal{C}(N)$ . It is easy to see that if

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -modules, then  $\mathcal{C}(M) = \mathcal{C}(M') \cup \mathcal{C}(M'')$ .

**Remark 3.2.** If  $M$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite then  $\text{Supp}_R(M)$  is a finite subset of  $\text{Max}(R)$ . If  $\text{Supp}_R(M) = \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_r\} \subseteq \text{Max}(R)$ , then  $M \cong \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}_1}(M) \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}_r}(M)$ . Therefore all results proved in Section 3 of [14] (in the case  $R$  is a local ring) can be extended for our notion of  $\Sigma$ -finite. For example, if  $M$  is a  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -module, then  $H_J^i(M)$  is also a  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -module for every ideal  $J \subset S$  and integer  $i \geq 0$ .

The following give us examples of  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -modules.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be an Artinian  $R$ -module. Then  $M = A \otimes_R R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  (resp.  $M = A \otimes_R R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ ) is a  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -module.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\text{Supp}_R(M) = \text{Supp}_R(A)$  is a finite subset of  $\text{Max}(R)$ . Since  $A$  is Artinian, it is union of all submodules of finite length. Moreover if  $L$  is an  $R$ -module of finite length, then  $L \otimes_R S$  is a  $D$ -module of finite length. The assertion now follows.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** Suppose that  $S = R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . In general  $A \otimes_R R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \not\cong A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  and  $A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  may not be  $\Sigma$ -finite. For example, let  $R = k[t]$ , where  $k$  is a field and  $t$  an indeterminate. Let  $A = E_R(k)$  be the injective hull of  $k$ . Then  $A \cong k[t^{-1}]$ . Choose the element  $a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^{-i} x_1^i \in S$  we have  $\text{Ann}_R(a) = 0 \notin \text{Max}(R)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  such that  $\dim R/I = 0$ . Then  $H_{IS}^i(S)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -module.*

*Proof.* We have  $\dim R/I = 0$  so  $\sqrt{I} = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_r$  with  $\mathfrak{m}_i \in \text{Max}(R)$  for all  $i = 0, \dots, r$ . By the Mayer-Vietoris sequence we have  $H_I^i(R) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}_1}^i(R) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{\mathfrak{m}_r}^i(R)$ . So  $H_I^i(R)$  is Artinian for all  $i \geq 0$  by [3, Theorem 7.1.3]. By Lemma 3.3 we have  $H_{IS}^i(S) \cong H_I^i(R) \otimes_R S$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite.  $\square$

The following is very useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence of  $D$ -modules. Then*

- (i) *If  $M$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite then  $M'$  and  $M''$  are  $\Sigma$ -finite.*
- (ii) *Conversely, if  $M'$  and  $M''$  are  $\Sigma$ -finite and  $M'$  has finite length as a  $D$ -module, then  $M$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite.*

*Proof.* (i) This part is [14, Proposition 3.6].

(ii) Since  $M''$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite we have  $M'' = \cup_{N'' \in \text{Fin}(M'')} N''$ . For each  $N'' \in \text{Fin}(M'')$ , let  $N$  be the preimage of  $N''$ . One can check that  $N$  admits a  $D$ -module structure. We have the following short exact sequence of  $D$ -modules.

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $M'$  has finite length as a  $D$ -module we have  $N$  has finite length as a  $D$ -module. Hence  $M = \cup_{N \in \text{Fin}(M)} N$ . The two last conditions of Definition 3.1 are not difficult to prove.  $\square$

Recalling that a Serre's category is a category that closes under taking submodules, quotients and extensions. If  $R$  contains the rational numbers, then the category of  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -modules is a Serre's subcategory of the category of  $D$ -module (cf. [14, Proposition 3.7]). At the time of writing, we do not know whether the condition  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq R$  can be removed. Fortunately, the statement of Lemma 3.6 (ii) is enough for our purpose. In the following we prove the global case of [14, Proposition 4.3]. While the proof of [14] is based on spectral sequences, our proof is elementary.

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $R$  be a (not necessary local) Noetherian ring and  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  or  $S = R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . Let  $J$  be an ideal of  $S$  such that  $\dim R/J \cap R = 0$ . Then  $H_J^i(S)$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume that  $J$  is a radical ideal, so  $J \cap R = \mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_r$  where  $\mathfrak{m}_k \in \text{Max}(R)$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, r$ . Set  $J_k = \mathfrak{m}_k S + J$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, r$ , we have  $J = J_1 \cap \cdots \cap J_r$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}_k + \mathfrak{m}_h = R$  for all  $k \neq h$ , we have  $J_k + J_h = S$  for all  $k \neq h$ . By using Mayer-Vietoris's sequence one can prove that

$$H_J^i(S) \cong H_{J_1}^i(S) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{J_r}^i(S)$$

for all  $i \geq 0$ . Therefore, it is enough to prove the assertion in the case  $J \cap R = \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$  (cf. [14, Lemma 3.9]). We proceed by induction of  $t = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$ .

The case  $t = 0$ , we have that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a minimal prime of  $R$ . Let  $U = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$  and  $\bar{R} = R/U$ . We have  $U$  is an  $R$ -module of finite length so  $U \otimes_R S$  is a  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -module by Lemma 3.3.

By [14, Corollary 3.10],  $H_J^i(U \otimes_R S)$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite for all  $i \geq 0$ . Applying local cohomology functor for the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \otimes_R S \rightarrow S \rightarrow \overline{S} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\overline{S} = \overline{R} \otimes_R S$ , we get the following exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_J^{i-1}(\overline{S}) \rightarrow H_J^i(U \otimes_R S) \rightarrow H_J^i(S) \rightarrow H_J^i(\overline{S}) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

On the other hand, we have  $\text{Ass}_R \overline{R} = \text{Ass}_R R \setminus V(\mathfrak{m})$ . Notice that  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$  so  $\mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R \overline{R}$ , and hence  $\text{Ann}_R(\overline{R}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ . Moreover  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$  we have  $\text{Ann}_R(\overline{R}) + \mathfrak{m} = R$ . Therefore  $1 \in \text{Ann}_R(\overline{R})S + J$  because  $J \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$ . Thus  $\text{Ann}_S(\overline{S}) + J = S$  since  $\text{Ann}_S(\overline{S}) = \text{Ann}_R(\overline{R})S$ . So  $H_J^i(\overline{S}) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 0$  and hence  $H_J^i(S) \cong H_J^i(U \otimes_R S)$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite for all  $i \geq 0$ .

For  $t > 0$ , set  $U = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$  and  $\overline{R} = R/H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R)$ . Let  $\overline{S} = \overline{R} \otimes_R S$ . The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \otimes_R S \rightarrow S \rightarrow \overline{S} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence of local cohomology modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_J^i(U \otimes_R S) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_J^i(S) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_J^i(\overline{S}) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

We have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{im}(\alpha) \rightarrow H_J^i(S) \rightarrow \text{im}(\beta) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $U$  has finite length as an  $R$ -module,  $U \otimes_R S$  and hence  $H_J^i(U \otimes_R S)$  have finite length as a  $D$ -module by Example 2.1 (iv) (see also [12, Proposition 3.3]). Thus  $\text{im}(\alpha)$  is a  $D$ -module of finite length. Suppose  $H_J^i(\overline{S})$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite we have  $\text{im}(\beta)$  is also a  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -module by Lemma 3.6 (i). Lemma 3.6 (ii) implies that  $H_J^i(S)$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite for all  $i \geq 0$ . Therefore we can assume henceforth that  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R) = 0$ . Choose an  $R$ -regular element  $a \in \mathfrak{m} = J \cap R$ , we have  $a$  is also  $S$ -regular and  $a \in J$ . So  $H_J^0(S) = 0$ . For  $i \geq 1$  we consider the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow S_a \rightarrow S_a/S \rightarrow 0.$$

This sequence induces the exact sequence of local cohomology

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_J^{i-1}(S_a) \rightarrow H_J^{i-1}(S_a/S) \rightarrow H_J^i(S) \rightarrow H_J^i(S_a) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Notice that  $a \in J$ , so  $H_J^i(S_a) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Thus

$$H_J^i(S) \cong H_J^{i-1}(S_a/S) \cong H_J^{i-1}(\varinjlim_n (S/a^n S)) \cong \varinjlim_n H_J^{i-1}(S/a^n S).$$

By inductive hypothesis we have  $H_J^{i-1}(S/a^n S)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D(S/a^n S, R/a^n R)$ -module for all  $n$  and  $i \geq 1$ . So  $H_J^{i-1}(S/a^n S)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D(S, R)$ -module for all  $n$  and  $i \geq 1$ . By [14, Proposition 3.11] we need only to prove that  $\cup_n \mathcal{C}(H_J^i(S/a^n S))$  is finite for all  $i \geq 0$ . We shall prove that  $\mathcal{C}(H_J^i(S/a^n S)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(H_J^i(S/aS))$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . The case  $n = 1$  is trivial. For  $n > 1$ , the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S/aS \xrightarrow{a^{n-1}} S/a^n S \rightarrow S/a^{n-1}S \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_J^i(S/aS) \rightarrow H_J^i(S/a^n S) \rightarrow H_J^i(S/a^{n-1}S) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Hence  $\mathcal{C}(H_j^i(S/a^n S)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(H_j^i(S/aS)) \cup \mathcal{C}(H_j^i(S/a^{n-1}S)) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(H_j^i(S/aS))$  by inductive hypothesis. The proof is complete.  $\square$

We are ready to prove the main result of this section, it gives a partial positive answer for [14, Question 5.1].

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring and  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  or  $S = R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . Let  $J$  be an ideal of  $S$  such that  $\dim R/(J \cap R) \leq 1$ . Then  $H_{\mathfrak{m}S}^j H_j^i(S)$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite for every  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular  $\text{Ass}_S H_{\mathfrak{m}S}^j H_j^i(S)$  is finite for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\dim R/(J \cap R) \leq 1$ , there exists  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}S \subset \sqrt{(J + fS)}$ . Thus  $\sqrt{J + \mathfrak{m}S} = \sqrt{J + fS}$ . Notice that  $H_j^i(S)$  is  $J$ -torsion. So

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}S}^j H_j^i(S) \cong H_{(J + \mathfrak{m}S)}^j H_j^i(S) \cong H_{(J + fS)}^j H_j^i(S) \cong H_{fS}^j H_j^i(S)$$

for all  $i, j \geq 0$ . Therefore  $H_{\mathfrak{m}S}^j H_j^i(S) = 0$  for all  $j > 1$ . Hence we need only to prove that  $H_{fS}^0 H_j^i(S)$  and  $H_{fS}^1 H_j^i(S)$  are  $\Sigma$ -finite for all  $i \geq 0$ . By [3, Proposition 8.1.2] we have the following exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_J^{i-1}(S) \rightarrow H_J^{i-1}(S_f) \rightarrow H_{(J+fS)}^i(S) \rightarrow H_J^i(S) \rightarrow H_J^i(S_f) \rightarrow \cdots$$

On the other hand we have the following exact sequence (cf. [3, Remark 2.2.17])

$$0 \rightarrow H_{fS}^0 H_j^i(S) \rightarrow H_J^i(S) \rightarrow H_J^i(S_f) \rightarrow H_{fS}^1 H_j^i(S) \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $i \geq 0$ . Therefore for each  $i \geq 0$  we have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{fS}^1 H_j^{i-1}(S) \rightarrow H_{(J+fS)}^i(S) \rightarrow H_{fS}^0 H_j^i(S) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\dim R/((J + fS) \cap R) = 0$ , we have  $H_{(J+fS)}^i(S)$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite for all  $i \geq 0$  by Proposition 3.7. Hence  $H_{fS}^0 H_j^i(S)$  and  $H_{fS}^1 H_j^i(S)$  are  $\Sigma$ -finite for all  $i \geq 0$  by Lemma 3.6. The last assertion follows from the property of  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -modules. The proof is complete.  $\square$

We get a result of on the finiteness of associated primes of local cohomology of polynomial rings.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring and  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Let  $J$  be an ideal of  $S$  such that  $\dim R/(J \cap R) \leq 1$ . Then  $\text{Ass}_S H_j^i(S)$  is finite for all  $i \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Similarly the proof of Theorem 3.8 we have an element  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}S \subseteq \sqrt{(J + fS)}$ . Consider the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{(J+fS)}^i(S) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_J^i(S) \rightarrow H_J^i(S_f) \rightarrow \cdots$$

We have  $\text{Ass}_S H_j^i(S) \subseteq \text{Ass}_S(\text{im}(\alpha)) \cup \text{Ass}_S H_J^i(S_f)$ . Since  $H_{(J+fS)}^i(S)$  is  $\Sigma$ -finite, so is  $\text{im}(\alpha)$ . Hence  $\text{Ass}_S(\text{im}(\alpha))$  is a finite set. On the other hand we have  $H_J^i(S_f) \cong H_{(JS_f)}^i(S_f)$ . Notice that  $S_f \cong R_f[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $\dim R_f/(JS_f \cap R_f) = 0$ , we have  $H_J^i(S_f)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D(S_f, R_f)$ -module by Proposition 3.7. So  $\text{Ass}_S H_j^i(S_f)$  is finite. The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 4. RINGS OF DIMENSION ONE

In this section  $R$  is a Noetherian ring of dimension one and  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  or  $S = R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . We recall our question.

**Question 3.** Is it true that  $H_J^i(S)$  has only finitely many associated primes for all ideals  $J$  of  $S$  and all  $i \geq 0$ ?

The following is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.9 which was shown before by Núñez-Betancourt in [12, Corollary 3.7].

**Corollary 4.1.** *Suppose that  $R$  is local and  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Then  $\text{Ass}_S H_J^i(S)$  is finite for all ideal  $J$  and all  $i \geq 0$ .*

We shall consider the question when  $R$  contains a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . We start with the following.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $W$  is the largest ideal of finite length of  $R$  and  $\bar{R} = R/W$ . Let  $\bar{S} = \bar{R} \otimes_R S$ . Suppose  $\text{Ass}_S H_J^i(\bar{S})$  is finite for all  $i \geq 0$ . Then  $\text{Ass}_S H_J^i(S)$  is finite for all  $i \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W \otimes_R S \rightarrow S \rightarrow \bar{S} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence of local cohomology

$$\dots \rightarrow H_J^i(W \otimes_R S) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_J^i(S) \rightarrow H_J^i(\bar{S}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since  $W$  has finite length we have  $H_J^i(W \otimes_R S)$  is a  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -module by Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.2. Hence so is  $\text{im}(\alpha)$ . Moreover  $\text{Ass}_S H_J^i(S) \subseteq \text{Ass}_S(\text{im}(\alpha)) \cup \text{Ass}_S H_J^i(\bar{S})$ . Therefore if  $\text{Ass}_S H_J^i(\bar{S})$  is finite, then so is  $\text{Ass}_S H_J^i(S)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be an excellent domain of dimension one and of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then  $\text{Ass}_S H_J^i(S)$  is finite for all ideal  $J$  and all  $i \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be the integral closure of  $R$ . We have  $T$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Since  $\dim R = 1$  we have  $T/R$  is an  $R$ -module of finite length. Set  $V = T \otimes_R S$ . Then  $V$  is either  $T[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  or  $T[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow V \rightarrow V/S \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_J^{i-1}(V/S) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_J^i(S) \rightarrow H_J^i(V) \rightarrow \dots$$

Notice that  $V/S$  is a  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -module of finite length and so is  $H_J^{i-1}(V/S)$ . Therefore  $\text{Ass}_S(\text{im}(\alpha))$  is finite. Since  $T$  is Dedekind we have  $V$  is a regular ring of characteristic  $p > 0$ . So  $\text{Ass}_V H_{J_V}^i(V)$  is finite by [7] or [9]. By the independent theorem we have  $H_J^i(V) \cong H_{J_V}^i(V)$ . Thus  $\text{Ass}_S H_J^i(V)$  is finite. The proof is complete.  $\square$

The following is the main result of this section.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be an excellent reduced ring of dimension one and of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $S$  is either  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  or  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . Then  $\text{Ass}_S H_J^i(S)$  is finite for all ideal  $J$  and all  $i \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.2 we can assume that  $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = 1$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R R$ . Since  $R$  is reduced,  $0 = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r$ . We proceed by induction on  $r$ . The case  $r = 1$  follows from Proposition 4.3. For  $r > 1$ , the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow (S/(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{r-1})S) \oplus S/\mathfrak{p}_r S \rightarrow S/(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{r-1} + \mathfrak{p}_r)S \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_J^{i-1}(S/(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{r-1} + \mathfrak{p}_r)S) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_J^i(S) \rightarrow H_J^i(S/(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{r-1})S) \oplus H_J^i(S/\mathfrak{p}_r S) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since  $\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{r-1} + \mathfrak{p}_r$  is not contained in any minimal prime and  $\dim R = 1$ , we have  $R/(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{r-1} + \mathfrak{p}_r)$  has finite length. Thus

$$H_J^{i-1}(S/(\mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{r-1} + \mathfrak{p}_r)S)$$

is  $\Sigma$ -finite for all  $i \geq 1$ . Thus  $\text{Ass}_S(\text{im}(\alpha))$  is finite. Combining with the inductive hypothesis we obtain the assertion.  $\square$

Inspired by [1] and [2] we raise the following question.

**Question 4.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring of dimension zero and of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  or  $S = R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . For each ideal  $J = (a_1, \dots, a_t)$  of  $S$ , is it true that the image of the canonical map*

$$\varphi : H^i(a_1, \dots, a_t; S) \rightarrow H_J^i(S)$$

*generates  $H_J^i(S)$  as a  $D$ -module.*

If the above question has a positive answer, then by the same method used in [2] we can extend the result of Proposition 4.4 in the case  $S = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for any ring of dimension one and of characteristic  $p > 0$ .

*Acknowledgement .* The author is grateful to the referee for his/her useful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Àlvarez Montaner, M. Blickle and G. Lyubeznik, Generators of  $D$ -modules in characteristic  $p > 0$ . *Math. Res. Lett.* **12** (2005), 459–473.
- [2] B. Bhatt, M. Blickle, G. Lyubeznik, A. Singh and W. Zhang, Local cohomology modules of a smooth  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra have finitely many associated primes. *Invent. Math.* **197** (2014), 509–519.
- [3] M. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge* 1998.
- [4] H. Dao and P.H. Quy, On the associated primes of local cohomology. Arxiv: 1602.00421.
- [5] A. Grothendieck, Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **32** (1967), 361pp.
- [6] M. Hochster and L. Núñez-Betancourt, On the support of local cohomology via Frobenius. *preprint*.
- [7] C. Huneke and R.Y. Sharp, Bass numbers of local cohomology modules. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **339** (1993), 765–779.
- [8] G. Lyubeznik, Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of  $D$ -modules to commutative algebra). *Invent. Math.* **113** (1993), 41–55.
- [9] G. Lyubeznik,  $F$ -modules: applications to local cohomology and  $D$ -modules in characteristic  $p > 0$ . *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **491** (1997), 65–130.
- [10] G. Lyubeznik, Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules: a characteristic-free approach. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **151** (2000) 43–50.

- [11] G. Lyubeznik, Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules for regular local rings of mixed characteristic: the unramified case. Special issue in honor of Robin Hartshorne, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), 5867–5882.
- [12] L. Núñez-Betancourt, Local cohomology modules of polynomial or power series rings over rings of small dimension. *Illinois J. Math.* **57** (2013), 279–294.
- [13] L. Núñez-Betancourt, On certain rings of differentiable type and finiteness properties of local cohomology. *J. Algebra* **379** (2013), 1–10.
- [14] L. Núñez-Betancourt, Associated primes of local cohomology of flat extensions with regular fibers and  $\Sigma$ -finite  $D$ -modules. *J. Algebra* **399** (2014), 770–781.
- [15] H. Robbins, Associated primes of local cohomology after adjoining indeterminates. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **218** (2014), 2072–2080.
- [16] H. Robbins, Associated primes of local cohomology after adjoining indeterminates part 2: the general case. *J. Commut. Algebra*, to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FPT UNIVERSITY, HOA LAC HI-TECH PARK, HA NOI, VIET NAM  
*E-mail address:* quyph@fpt.edu.vn