

Localization at countably infinitely many prime ideals and applications ¹

KAMAL BAHMANPOUR and PHAM HUNG QUY

Abstract

In this paper we present a technical lemma about localization at countably infinitely many prime ideals. We apply this lemma to get many results about the finiteness of associated prime ideals of local cohomology modules.

1 Introduction

In this paper, let R be a commutative Noetherian ring. Localization is one of the most important tools in Commutative algebra. Notice that for any multiplicative subset S of R , the canonical extension $R \rightarrow R_S$ is flat, and many problems in Commutative algebra have good behavior under flat extensions. For a set of finitely many prime ideals $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k\}$ with no containment relations, set $S = R \setminus \cup_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{p}_i$, we have R_S is a semilocal ring and $\text{Max}(R_S) = \{\mathfrak{p}_1 R_S, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k R_S\}$. This fact follows from the well known prime avoidance lemma. This statement is false for countably infinitely many prime ideals $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i \geq 1}$. For example, let $R = \mathbb{Q}[X, Y]$ and $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is the set of prime ideals of height one. Since R is UFD we have a prime ideal of height one is principal. Moreover R is a countable set, so the set $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is countable. On the other hand every non-constant polynomial must be contained in a prime ideal of height one. Thus $S = R \setminus \cup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathbb{Q}$ and so $R_S = R$. This paper is devoted to the localization at countably infinitely many prime ideals after passing to a certain flat extension. Concretely, we prove the following result.

Lemma 1.1. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ a countable set of prime ideals of R with no containment relation. Consider the formal power series ring $R[[X]]$ and set $S = R[[X]] \setminus \cup_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{p}_i R[[X]]$ and $T = R[[X]]_S$. Then $R \rightarrow T$ is a flat extension and $\text{Max}(T) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i T\}_{i \geq 1}$.*

The above lemma will be proved in the next section. In Section 3 we apply Lemma 1.1 to get many results about the the finiteness of associated prime ideals of local cohomology modules. Among them, is the following:

¹**Key words and phrases:** Localization; Local cohomology; Associated prime ideal.

AMS Classification 2010: 13B30; 13D45; 13E99.

The second author is supported by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.04-2015.25 and by the research project No. DHFPT/2015/01 granted by FPT University.

Theorem 1.2. *Let I be an ideal of R and M a finitely generated R -module. Then for every $i \geq 0$ the set $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R H_i^i(M) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/I) \leq 1\}$ is finite.*

Recall that, for any ideal I of R and any R -module M , the i^{th} local cohomology module of M with respect to I is defined as

$$H_I^i(M) = \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, M).$$

We refer the reader to [2] or [4] for more details about local cohomology.

2 Localization at countably infinitely many prime ideals

We start this section with the well known result, countable prime avoidance lemma (see [9, Lemma 13.2]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a Noetherian ring satisfying either of these conditions:*

- (i) *A is a complete local ring.*
- (ii) *There is an uncountable set of elements $\{\mu_\lambda\}_\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\mu_\lambda - \mu_\gamma$ is a unit of A for every $\lambda \neq \gamma$.*

Let $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ a countable set of prime ideals of A and I an ideal such that $I \subseteq \cup_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{p}_i$. Then $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i .

The following technical lemma is the main result of this section.

Lemma 2.2. *Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and $\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ a countable set of prime ideals of R with no containment relation. Consider the formal power series ring $R[[X]]$ and set $S = R[[X]] \setminus \cup_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{p}_i R[[X]]$ and $T = R[[X]]_S$. Then $R \rightarrow T$ is a flat extension and $\text{Max}(T) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i T\}_{i \geq 1}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $R \rightarrow T$ is flat and $\mathfrak{p}_i T \in \text{Spec}(T)$ for all $i \geq 1$. We prove that T satisfies the condition (ii) of Lemma 2.1. We consider the following subset of elements in $R[[X]]$

$$\mathcal{B} := \{\mu_\lambda = b_0 + b_1 X + \cdots + b_n X^n + \cdots : b_i = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ and } \mu_\lambda \neq 0\}.$$

Since $R[[X]]$ is a subring of T , so $\mathcal{B} \subseteq T$. It is clear that \mathcal{B} is an uncountable set. For every $\mu_\lambda \neq \mu_\gamma$ pair of distinct elements of \mathcal{B} we have

$$\mu_\lambda - \mu_\gamma = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n + \cdots$$

with $a_i = 0, 1$ or -1 and at least one $a_i \neq 0$. Let k be the least integer such that $a_k \neq 0$. We have

$$\mu_\lambda - \mu_\gamma = X^k(1 + a_{k+1}X + \cdots)$$

or

$$\mu_\lambda - \mu_\gamma = X^k(-1 + a_{k+1}X + \cdots).$$

We have both $1 + a_{k+1}X + \cdots$ and $-1 + a_{k+1}X + \cdots$ are units in $R[[X]]$ and so are in T . Since $X \notin \mathfrak{p}_i T$ for all $i \geq 1$ we have $X \in S$. Thus X is a unit in T . Therefore $\mu_\lambda - \mu_\gamma$ is a unit in T for every $\mu_\lambda \neq \mu_\gamma$. Hence T satisfies the countable prime avoidance lemma. Since $\cup_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{p}_i T$ is the set of non-units of T , we have $I \subseteq \cup_{i \geq 1} \mathfrak{p}_i T$ for every proper ideal I of T . By the countable prime avoidance lemma we have $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i T$ for some i . Therefore $\text{Max}(T) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i T\}_{i \geq 1}$. The proof is complete. \square

3 Applications

In this section, let I be an ideal of R and M a finitely generated R -module. In general the i^{th} local cohomology module $H_I^i(M)$ is not finitely generated. Grothendieck asked the following question: Is $\text{Hom}(R/I, H_I^i(M))$ finitely generated for all $i \geq 0$? The first counterexample was given by Hartshorne in [5]. In this paper he introduced the notion of I -cofinite modules. An R -module L is called I -cofinite if $\text{Supp}(L) \subseteq V(I)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, L)$ is finitely generated for all $i \geq 0$. Hartshorne proved that $H_I^i(M)$ is I -cofinite for all $i \geq 0$ if R is a complete regular local ring and I is a prime ideal of dimension one. Hartshorne's result was extended by many authors (see, [1], [3], [7], [13]). In [1, Theorem 1.1] Bahmanpour and Naghipour proved the following result (see also [12, Theorem 2.10]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let I be an ideal of R of dimension one and M a finitely generated R -module. Then $H_I^i(M)$ is I -cofinite for all $i \geq 0$.*

Now, we are ready to state and prove the first main result of this section, which is an application of Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of R and M a finitely generated R -module. Then for every $i \geq 0$ and any $j \geq 0$, the set*

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R \text{Ext}_R^j(R/I, H_I^i(M)) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/I) \leq 1\}$$

is finite.

Proof. Suppose there are i and j such that the set

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R \text{Ext}_R^j(R/I, H_I^i(M)) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/I) \leq 1\}$$

is not finite. We can choose an countable set $\{\mathfrak{p}_k\}_{k \geq 1} \subseteq \text{Ass}_R \text{Ext}_R^j(R/I, H_I^i(M))$ and $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_k/I) = 1$ for all $k \geq 1$. Let T as Lemma 2.2, we have $R \rightarrow T$ is a flat extension and

$$\text{Max}(T) = \{\mathfrak{p}_k T\}_{k \geq 1}.$$

By the flat base change theorem (see, [2, Theorem 4.3.2]) we have

$$\text{Ext}_R^j(R/I, H_I^i(M)) \otimes_R T \cong \text{Ext}_T^j(R/I \otimes_R T, H_I^i(M) \otimes_R T) \cong \text{Ext}_T^j(T/IT, H_{IT}^i(M \otimes_R T)).$$

So $\mathfrak{p}_k T \in \text{Ass}_T \text{Ext}_T^j(T/IT, H_{IT}^i(M \otimes_R T))$ for all $k \geq 1$ by [10, Theorem 23.2]. On the other hand we have $\dim T/IT = 1$ so $H_{IT}^i(M \otimes_R T)$ is IT -cofinite by Lemma 3.1. Thus the T -module $\text{Ext}_T^j(T/IT, H_{IT}^i(M \otimes_R T))$ is finitely generated and so the set

$$\text{Ass}_T \text{Ext}_T^j(T/IT, H_{IT}^i(M \otimes_R T))$$

is finite, which is a contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

Recall that $\text{Ass}_R H_I^i(M) = \text{Ass}_R \text{Hom}(R/I, H_I^i(M))$ for all $i \geq 0$. So the following result is an immediately consequence of Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.3. *Let I be an ideal of R and M a finitely generated R -module. Then for every $i \geq 0$ the set $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R H_I^i(M) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/I) \leq 1\}$ is finite.*

The following results are other applications of Lemma 2.2 to local cohomology modules.

Corollary 3.4. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of R and $n \geq 1$ be an integer and M be a finitely generated R -module such that $\dim(M/IM) = n$. Then for any finitely generated R -module N with support in $V(I + \text{Ann}_R(M))$ and for any $i, j \geq 0$ we have the set*

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^j(N, H_I^i(M))) : \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \geq n - 1\}$$

is finite.

Proof. Let $J = \text{Ann}(M/IM)$. Then, we have $V(J) = V(I + \text{Ann}_R(M))$. It is not difficult to see that $H_I^i(M) \cong H_J^i(M)$ for all $i \geq 0$. We can assume henceforth that $I = \text{Ann}(M/IM)$ and $\dim R/I = n$. Notice that if K is an I -cofinite module, then $\text{Ext}_R^j(N, K)$ is finitely generated for all finitely generated R -module N with support $V(I)$ (see [8, Lemma 1]). Now the proof is the same as Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of R and $n \geq 1$ be an integer and M be a finitely generated R -module such that $\dim(M/IM) = n$. Then for any finitely generated R -module N with support in $V(I + \text{Ann}_R(M))$ and for any $i, j \geq 0$ we have the set*

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(\text{Tor}_j^R(N, H_I^i(M))) : \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \geq n - 1\}$$

is finite.

Proof. Use [11, Theorem 2.1]. □

We prove the second main result of this section.

Theorem 3.6. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, I an ideal of R and M an (not necessarily finitely generated) R -module. Then for any integer $t \geq 0$, the set*

$$\mathcal{S} := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R H_I^t(M) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = t\} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(H_I^t(M)) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = t\}$$

is finite.

Proof. It follows from Grothendieck's Vanishing Theorem, that each element of the set $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(H_I^t(M)) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = t\}$ is a minimal element of the set $\text{Supp}(H_I^t(M))$ and so is an associated prime ideal of the R -module $H_I^t(M)$. Therefore

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(H_I^t(M)) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = t\} \subseteq \mathcal{S} \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(H_I^t(M)) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = t\}.$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(H_I^t(M)) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = t\}.$$

Let \mathfrak{p} be an arbitrary element of $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(H_I^t(M)) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = t\}$ we have $H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. Notice that $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = t$ so by [2, Exercise 6.1.9] we have $H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence $H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$. Thus for any R -module M we have

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(H_I^t(M)) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = t\} \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(H_I^t(R)) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = t\}.$$

So it is enough to prove the assertion in the case $M = R$. Suppose that $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R H_I^t(R) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = t\}$ is not finite. Then, we can choose a countable infinite subset

$$\{\mathfrak{p}_i\}_{i \geq 1} \subseteq \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R H_I^t(R) : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = t\}.$$

Now set T as in Lemma 2.2. Then we have $R \rightarrow T$ is a flat extension and $\text{Max}(T) = \{\mathfrak{p}_i T\}_{i \geq 1}$. In particular, T is a Noetherian ring of dimension t and $\mathfrak{p}_i T \in \text{Ass}_T H_{IT}^t(T)$ for all $i \geq 1$. But, in view of [11, Proposition 5.1], the T -module $H_{IT}^t(T)$ is Artinian and hence has finitely many associated primes, which is a contradiction. The proof is complete. □

Let T be a subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$. We denote

$$T_i = \{\mathfrak{p} \in T : \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = i\}.$$

The following is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. *Let M be an R -module of finite dimension and I an ideal of R . Then the set*

$$\bigcup_{i \geq 0} (\text{Ass}_R H_I^i(M))_i$$

is finite.

We close this paper with the following remark.

Remark 3.8. It is not known whether the set of minimal associated primes of a local cohomology module is finite. It is equivalent to the question: Is the support of local cohomology closed (see [6])? By Lemma 2.2 one can assume that the set of minimal associated primes of $H_I^i(M)$ is just $\text{Max}(R)$.

Acknowledgements: The second author is grateful to Mahdi Majidi-Zolbanin for his valuable discussions about Section 2 on [Mathoverflow.net](https://mathoverflow.net).

References

- [1] K. Bahmanpour and R. Naghipour, Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of small dimension, *J. Algebra* **321** (2009), 1997–2011.
- [2] M.P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology; an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [3] D. Delfino and T. Marley, Cofinite modules and local cohomology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **121** (1997), 45–52.
- [4] A. Grothendieck, *Local cohomology*, Notes by R. Hartshorne, Lecture Notes in Math., 862 (Springer, New York, 1966).
- [5] R. Hartshorne, Affine duality and cofiniteness, *Invent. Math.* **9** (1970), 145–164.
- [6] C. Huneke, D. Katz and T. Marley, On the support of local cohomology, *J. Algebra* **322** (2014), 3194–3211.
- [7] C. Huneke and J. Koh, Cofiniteness and vanishing of local cohomology modules, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **110** (1991), 421–429.

- [8] K. -I. Kawasaki, On the finiteness of Bass numbers of local cohomology module, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 3275–3279.
- [9] G. Leuschke and R. Wiegand, *Cohen-Macaulay representations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 181, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [10] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [11] L. Melkersson, Modules cofinite with respect to an ideal, *J. Algebra* **285** (2005), 649–668.
- [12] L. Melkersson, Cofiniteness with respect to ideals of dimension one, *J. Algebra* **372** (2012), 459–462.
- [13] K.I. Yoshida, Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of dimension one, *Nagoya Math. J.* **147** (1997), 179–191.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MOHAGHEGH ARDABIL, 56199-11367, ARDABIL, IRAN

E-mail address: bahmanpour.k@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FPT UNIVERSITY, 8 TON THAT THUYET ROAD, HA NOI, VIET NAM

E-mail address: quyph@fpt.edu.vn