F-INJECTIVITY AND FROBENIUS CLOSURE OF IDEALS IN NOETHERIAN RINGS OF CHARACTERISTIC $p > 0$

PHAM HUNG QUY AND KAZUMA SHIMOMOTO

ABSTRACT. The main aim of this article is to study the relation between F-injective singularity and the Frobenius closure of parameter ideals in Noetherian rings of positive characteristic. The paper consists of the following themes, including many other topics.
(1) We prove that if every parameter ideal of a Noetherian local ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$ is Frobenius closed, then it is F-injective.
(2) We prove a necessary and sufficient condition for the injectivity of the Frobenius action on $H^i_\mathfrak{m}(R)$ for all $i \le f_\mathfrak{m}(R)$, where $f_\mathfrak{m}(R)$ is the finiteness dimension of R. As applications, we prove the following results. (a) If the ring is F-injective, then every ideal generated by a filter regular sequence, whose length is equal to the finiteness dimension of the ring, is Frobenius closed. It is a generalization of a recent result of Ma and which is stated for generalized Cohen-Macaulay local rings. (b) Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring of characteristic $p > 0$. If the Frobenius action is injective on the local cohomology $H^i_\mathfrak{m}(R)$ for all $i < \dim R$, then R is Buchsbaum. This gives an answer to a question of Takagi. We also prove a recent result of Bhatt, Ma and Schwede with an elementary proof.
(3) We consider the problem when the union of two F-injective closed subschemes of a Noetherian $\mathbb{F}_p$-scheme is F-injective. Using this idea, we construct an F-injective local ring R such that R has a parameter ideal that is not Frobenius closed. This result adds a new member to the family of F-singularities.
(4) We give the first ideal-theoretic characterization of F-injectivity in terms the Frobenius closure and the limit closure. We also give an answer to the question about when the Frobenius action on the top local cohomology is injective.

Dedicated to Prof. Shiro Goto on the occasion of his 70th birthday

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the behavior of the Frobenius closure of ideals generated by a system of parameters (which we call a *parameter ideal*) of a given Noetherian ring containing a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then we investigate how the *F-injectivity* condition is related to the Frobenius closure of parameter ideals. Recall that a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ of positive characteristic is F-injective if the natural Frobenius action on all local cohomology modules $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ are injective (cf. [10]). F-injective rings together with F-regular, F-rational and F-pure rings are the main objects of the family of singularities defined by the Frobenius map and they are called the *F-singularities*. F-singularities appear in the theory of *tight closure* (cf. [20] for its introduction), which was systematically introduced by Hochster and Huneke around the mid 80's [18] and developed by many researchers, including Hara, Schwede, Smith, Takagi, Watanabe, Yoshida and others. A recent active research of F-singularities is centered around the correspondence with the singularities of the minimal model program. We recommend [38] as an excellent survey for recent developments. It should be noted that the class of F-injective rings is considered to be the largest among other notable classes of F-singularities.

Under mild conditions of rings, F-regularity, F-rationality and F-purity can be checked by computing either the tight closure, or the Frobenius closure of (parameter) ideals. However, there was no known characterization of F-injectivity in terms of a closure operation of (parameter) ideals. If R is Cohen-Macaulay, Fedder proved that R is F-injective if and only if every parameter ideal is Frobenius closed. More than thirty years later after Fedder's work appeared, Ma extended Fedder's result for the class of *generalized Cohen-Macaulay local rings*. Therefore, it is quite natural to ask the following question (cf. [27, Remark 3.6]):

Question 1. *Is it true that a local ring is F-injective if and only if every parameter ideal is Frobenius closed?*

Both authors of the present paper are very interested in the works of Ma in [26] and [27]. We started our join work when the first author was able to prove one direction of the above question. Indeed, this is the first main result of this paper and stated as follows.

Main Theorem A (Theorem 3.7)**.** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Assume that every parameter ideal is Frobenius closed. Then R is F-injective.*

However, we prove that the converse of this theorem does not hold true by constructing an explicit example. It should be noted that the example comes from our geometrical consideration of patching two F-injective closed subshemes.

Main Theorem B (Example 6.3, Theorem 6.5 and Corollary 6.6). *There exists an F-finite local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ of characteristic $p > 0$ which is non-Cohen-Macaulay, F-injective, but not F-pure. Moreover, R has a parameter ideal that is not Frobenius closed.*

Thus, the above theorems give an answer to Question 1 in a complete form, and it seems reasonable to call $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ *parameter F-closed* if every parameter ideal of R is Frobenius closed (cf. Definition 6.8). It is true that every F-pure local ring is parameter F-closed and every parameter F-closed ring is F-injective as a consequence of our main theorems. However, our example in Main Theorem B may not be optimal, since the ring is not equidimensional. There is some hope that Question 1 has an affirmative answer when the ring is equidimensional with additional mild conditions. We note that a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring is equidimensional. Inspired by Ma's work on generalized Cohen-Macaulay rings, we study F-injective rings in connection with the finiteness dimension. Recall that the finiteness dimension of R is defined as

$$f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) := \inf\{i \,|\, H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \text{ is not finitely generated}\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

We have the following theorem.

Main Theorem C (Theorem 4.16). *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a reduced F-finite local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ with $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = t$ and let $s \leq t$ be a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) The Frobenius action on $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is injective for all $i \leq s$.

(2) Every filter regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ of both R and $R^{1/p}/R$ generates a Frobenius closed ideal of R and it is a standard sequence on R.

(3) Every filter regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ of both R and $R^{1/p}/R$ generates a Frobenius closed ideal of R.

We deduce many interesting results from Main Theorem C. First, we generalize Ma's results [27] in terms of finiteness dimension.

Corollary 1 (Theorem 4.19 and Corollary 4.20). *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be an F-injective local ring with $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = t$. Then every filter regular sequence of length t is a standard sequence, and every ideal generated by a filter regular sequence of length at most t is Frobenius closed. If R is F-injective and generalized Cohen-Macaulay, then every parameter ideal is Frobenius closed and R is Buchsbaum.*

The last assertion in the above corollary is Ma's affirmative answer to a question of Takagi. Ma's proof follows from his result on the equivalence between the class of F-injective rings and the class of parameter F-closed rings for a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring R, together with the result of Goto and Ogawa in [13], who showed that if a parameter F-closed local ring (in our terminology) is generalized Cohen-Macaulay, then it is Buchsbaum. Using Main Theorem C and Goto-Ogawa's argument, we prove the following corollary.

Corollary 2 (Corollary 4.24). *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a reduced F-finite generalized Cohen-Macaulay local ring with $d = \dim R$. Suppose that the Frobenius action on $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is injective for all $i < d$. Then R is Buchsbaum.*

Finally, we state a result that gives an ideal-theoretic characterization of F-injectivity via the notion of *limit closure*. The notion of limit closure appears naturally when we consider local

cohomology as the direct limit of Koszul cohomology for non-Cohen-Macaulay rings. The limit closure of a sequence of elements $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ in a ring R is defined as follows

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_t)^{\lim} = \bigcup_{n>0} \left((x_1^{n+1}, \ldots, x_t^{n+1}) :_R (x_1 \cdots x_t)^n \right)$$

with the convention that $(x_1, \ldots, x_t)^{\lim} = 0$ when $t = 0$. Note that $(x_1, \ldots, x_t)^{\lim}$ is an ideal of R. We prove the following theorem.

Main Theorem D (Theorem 7.3 and Theorem 7.5). *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and of dimension $d > 0$. Then we have the following statements.*

(1) The Frobenius action on the top local cohomology $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)$ is injective if and only if $\mathfrak{q}^F \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^{\lim}$ for all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q}$.

(2) The following statements are equivalent.

(a) R is F-injective

(b) For every filter regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_d$, we have

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_t)^F \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_t)^{\lim}$$

for all $0 \le t \le d$.

(c) There is a filter regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ such that

$$(x_1^n, \ldots, x_t^n)^F \subseteq (x_1^n, \ldots, x_t^n)^{\lim}$$

for all $0 \le t \le d$ and for all $n \ge 1$.

Main Theorem D is not only a generalization of Main Theorem A, but it also helps us better understand Main Theorems B and C. As other side topics, we also discuss problems such as non-F-injective locus and the small Cohen-Macaulay module conjecture. Many questions concerning F-injectivity are addressed in the last section.

The main technique of this paper is to analyze the local cohomology modules by filter regular sequence via the Nagel-Schenzel isomorphism (cf. Lemma 3.6). It is worth noting that the notion of filter regular sequence has arisen from the theory of generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring in [6] and has become a powerful tool in many problems of commutative algebra nowadays. The authors hope that the present paper will shed light on the connection between tight closure theory and non-Cohen-Macaulay rings via techniques developed in this article. The structure of this paper is as follows.

In § 2, we introduce notation and give a brief review on Frobenius closure of ideals, Frobenius action, and the local cohomology modules.

In § 3, We recall some standard results on the Frobenius closure and give complete proofs to them for the convenience of readers. An important fact to keep in mind is that the formation of Frobenius closure of ideals and F-injectivity commute with localization. After that, we recall the notion of filter regular sequence. The reader will find that this notion, together with the Nagel-Schenzel isomorphism, will play a prominent role in proving the injectivity-type results on local cohomology modules under the Frobenius action. The main theorem A will be proven in this section (cf. Theorem 3.7).

In § 4, firstly, we review the definition of generalized Cohen-Macaulay rings and Buchsbaum rings. They form a wider class than that of Cohen-Macaulay rings. The notion of standard parameter ideal plays an important role in the theory of generalized Cohen-Macaulay rings. Then we combine these notions with the notion of the finiteness dimension. We prove Main Theorem C in this section (cf. Theorem 4.16). Among many consequences, we recover Ma's result on generalized

Cohen-Macaulay F-injective rings (cf. Theorem 4.19 and Corollary 4.24) and a recent result of Bhatt, Ma and Schwede (cf. Theorem 4.26). Another main result is about the existence of a small Cohen-Macaulay module in positive characteristic under a certain condition (cf. Proposition 4.29).

In § 5, we compare F-injective and F-pure rings. In geometrical setting, we consider the problem when the union of two F-injective closed subschemes is again F-injective (cf. Theorem 5.6). Our result is useful in the construction of certain local rings in characteristic $p > 0$.

In § 6, we construct some interesting examples. The main result in this section is that there exists a local ring that is F-injective with a parameter ideal that is not Frobenius closed (cf. Theorem 6.5). Then we define the parameter F-closed rings as a new member of F-singularities.

In § 7, we prove an ideal-theoretic characterization of F-injectivity using the limit closure (cf. Theorem 7.5). Then we consider the injectivity of the Frobenius action on the top local cohomology (cf. Theorem 7.3). The readers are encouraged to ponder on this characterization to shed light on the previous results (some results of [8] may be helpful).

In § 8, we make a list of some open problems for the future research.

2. NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS

In this paper, all rings are (Noetherian) commutative with unity. A *local ring* is a commutative Noetherian ring with the unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Denote a local ring by $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$. Let M be a finitely generated module over a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$. We say that an ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq R$ is a *parameter ideal* of M, if $\mathfrak{q}$ is generated by a system of parameters of M. We say that a ring R is *equidimensional*, if $\dim R = \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$ for all minimal primes $\mathfrak{p}$ of R. Let $\underline{x} := x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be a sequence of elements in a ring R. For an R-module M, let $H^i(\underline{x}; M)$ denote the *i-th Koszul cohomology module* of M with respect to $\underline{x}$. We employ the convention that $\dim M = -1$ if M is a trivial module.

Let R be a Noetherian ring containing a field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $F : R \to R$ denote the Frobenius endomorphism (the p-th power map). We say that a Noetherian ring R is *F-finite* if $F : R \to R$ is module-finite. Let us recall the definition of the Frobenius closure with its properties briefly. Let $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_t)$ be an ideal of R. The *Frobenius closure* of I, denoted by I^F, is defined to be the set of all elements of R satisfying the following property: $u \in I^F$ if and only if $u^q \in I^{[q]}$ for $q = p^e \gg 0$, where $I^{[q]} := (x_1^q, \ldots, x_t^q)$. Indeed, I^F is an ideal of R. If I is a parameter ideal of a local ring R, then so is $I^{[q]}$. Let R be a Noetherian ring with I its proper ideal. Then we denote by $H_I^i(R)$ the *i-th local cohomology module* with support at I (cf. [4] and [22] for local cohomology modules). Recall that local cohomology may be computed as the homology of the Čech complex

$$0 \to R \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{t} R_{x_i} \to \cdots \to R_{x_1 \ldots x_t} \to 0.$$

Let R be a Noetherian ring of characteristic $p > 0$ with an ideal $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_t)$. Then the Frobenius endomorphism $F : R \to R$ induces a natural Frobenius action $F_* : H_I^i(R) \to H_{I^{[p]}}^i(R) \cong H_I^i(R)$ (cf. [5] for this map). There is a very useful way of describing the top local cohomology. It can be given as the direct limit of Koszul cohomologies

$$H_I^t(R) \cong \varinjlim R/(x_1^n, \ldots, x_t^n).$$

Then for each $\overline{a} \in H_I^t(R)$, which is the canonical image of $a + (x_1^n, \ldots, x_t^n)$, we find that $F_*(\overline{a})$ is the canonical image of $a^p + (x_1^{pn}, \ldots, x_t^{pn})$.

By a *Frobenius action* on local cohomology modules, we always mean the one as defined above. A local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is *F-injective* if the Frobenius action on $H^i_\mathfrak{m}(R)$ is injective for all $i \geq 0$. Assume that R is a reduced ring of characteristic $p > 0$ with minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_r$. Consider the natural inclusions:

$$R \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r R/\mathfrak{p}_i \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{Q(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)},$$

where $\overline{Q(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)}$ is the algebraic closure of the quotient field $Q(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)$ of $R/\mathfrak{p}_i$. We define

$$R^{1/p^e} := \big\{ x \in \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{Q(R/\mathfrak{p}_i)} \mid x^{p^e} \in R \big\}.$$

We note that $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is *F*-injective if and only if the inclusion $R \hookrightarrow R^{1/p^e}$ induces the injective map of local cohomology $H^i_\mathfrak{m}(R) \hookrightarrow H^i_\mathfrak{m}(R^{1/p^e})$ for all $i \geq 0$. We will review definitions and results from generalized Cohen-Macaulay rings in § 4.

3. FROBENIUS ACTION ON LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

3.1. Frobenius closure of ideals.
We collect basic known facts on the Frobenius closure of ideals in a Noetherian ring of characteristic $p > 0$ for the convenience of readers.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. If there is an element $x \in R$ such that (x^n) is Frobenius closed for all $n > 0$, then R is reduced.*

Proof. Assume that we have $u^m = 0$ for $m > 0$ and $u \in R$. Then we have $u^q \in (x^n)^q$ for all $q = p^e \geq m$ and $u \in (x^n)^F = (x^n)$. Hence $u \in \bigcap_{n>0}(x^n) = (0)$ by Krull's intersection theorem. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ be a sequence of elements in a Noetherian ring R of characteristic $p > 0$ such that $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ is a regular sequence in any order and $(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ is Frobenius closed. Then $(x_1^{n_1}, \ldots, x_k^{n_k})$ is Frobenius closed for all integers $n_1, \ldots, n_k \geq 1$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that $(x_1^{n_1}, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$ is Frobenius closed for all $n_1 \geq 1$. We proceed by induction on n_1. The case $n_1 = 1$ is trivial. For $n_1 > 1$, let us take $a \in (x_1^{n_1}, x_2, \ldots, x_k)^F$. Then $a^q \in (x_1^{n_1}, x_2, \ldots, x_k)^{[q]} \subseteq (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)^{[q]}$ for $q = p^e \gg 0$. Therefore $a \in (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)^F = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$. So $a = b_1 x_1 + \cdots + b_k x_k$. We have

$$a^q = b_1^q x_1^q + \cdots + b_k^q x_k^q \in (x_1^{n_1}, x_2, \ldots, x_k)^{[q]}$$

and $b_1^q x_1^q \in (x_1^{n_1}, x_2, \ldots, x_k)^{[q]}$. Hence $b_1^q x_1^q - c x_1^{n_1 q} \in (x_2, \ldots, x_k)^{[q]}$ for some c. Since $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ is a regular sequence in any order, we have $b_1^q - c x_1^{(n_1-1)q} \in (x_2, \ldots, x_k)^{[q]}$ and $b_1^q \in (x_1^{n_1-1}, x_2, \ldots, x_k)^{[q]}$. Therefore, $b_1 \in (x_1^{n_1-1}, x_2, \ldots, x_k)^F = (x_1^{n_1-1}, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$ by induction hypothesis on n_1. Hence $a = b_1 x_1 + \cdots + b_k x_k \in (x_1^{n_1}, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$, as required. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Frobenius closure commutes with localization. In particular, localization of a Frobenius closed ideal is Frobenius closed.*

Proof. Let $J \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Then $u^q \in J^{[q]}$ for $q = p^e \gg 0$ if and only if $u \in JR^\infty \cap R$, where R^∞ is the perfect closure of R; R^∞ is the direct limit of $\{R \to R \to R \to \cdots\}$, where $R \to R$ is the Frobenius map. Let $\phi : R \to R^\infty$ be the natural ring map and write $JR^\infty \cap R$ for $\phi^{-1}(JR^\infty) \cap R$ for simplicity. Hence $J^F = JR^\infty \cap R$. Let $S \subseteq R$ be a multiplicative set. Since the localization functor is exact, we have

$$S^{-1}R^\infty \cong (S^{-1}R)^\infty.$$

Then we have

$$S^{-1}(J^F) = S^{-1}(JR^\infty \cap R) = J(S^{-1}R^\infty) \cap S^{-1}R = (S^{-1}J)^F,$$

as claimed. □

3.2. **Filter regular sequence.** Let us recall the definition of filter regular sequence. We always assume that a module is finitely generated over a ring.

Definition 3.4. Let M be a finitely generated module over a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ and let $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ be a set of elements of R. Then we say that $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ is a *filter regular sequence* on M if the following conditions hold:

(1) We have $(x_1, \ldots, x_t) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$.

(2) We have $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R \left(\dfrac{M}{(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M} \right) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}, \ i = 1, \ldots, t$.

We can deduce the existence of filter regular sequence, using the prime avoidance lemma. For this fact, we refer the reader to [31, Remark 4.5]. Note that the filter regular sequence that we just defined is also called an $\mathfrak{m}$-*filter regular sequence* in other literatures.

Lemma 3.5. *Let M be a finitely generated module over $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$. Then $x_1, \ldots, x_t \in \mathfrak{m}$ form a filter regular sequence on M if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

(1) *The quotient*

$$\frac{\big((x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M :_M x_i\big)}{(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})M}$$

is an R-module of finite length for $i = 1, \ldots, t$.

(2) *Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \le i \le t$. Then the sequence*

$$\frac{x_1}{1}, \frac{x_2}{1}, \ldots, \frac{x_i}{1}$$

forms an $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-regular sequence in $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \big(\mathrm{Spec}(R/(x_1, \ldots, x_i)) \cap \mathrm{Supp}_R M \big) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.

(3) *The sequence $x_1^{n_1}, \ldots, x_t^{n_t}$ is a filter regular sequence for all $n_1, \ldots, n_t \ge 1$.*

Proof. The proof is found in [30, Proposition 2.2]. □

The following result is very useful in this paper (cf. [30, Proposition 3.4]).

Lemma 3.6 (Nagel-Schenzel isomorphism)**.** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and let M be a finitely generated R-module. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ be a filter regular sequence on M. Then we have*

$$H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \cong \begin{cases} H^i_{(x_1, \ldots, x_t)}(M) & \text{if } i < t \\ H^{i-t}_{\mathfrak{m}}(H^t_{(x_1, \ldots, x_t)}(M)) & \text{if } i \ge t. \end{cases}$$

The extremely useful case of Nagel-Schenzel's isomorphism is that we can consider $H^t_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ as the submodule $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(H^t_{(x_1, \ldots, x_t)}(M))$ of $H^t_{(x_1, \ldots, x_t)}(M)$. These module structures are compatible with the Frobenius actions (in positive characteristic). Moreover, $H^t_{(x_1, \ldots, x_t)}(M))$ is the top local cohomology module whose Frobenius action is described explicitly.

3.3. **Frobenius closed parameter ideals I.** Now we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Set $d = \dim R$. Then we have the following results:*

(1) *Assume that $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ is a filter regular sequence on R such that $(x_1^{p^n}, \ldots, x_t^{p^n})$ is Frobenius closed for all $n \geq 0$. Then the Frobenius action on $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(R)$ is injective.*

(2) *If every parameter ideal of R is Frobenius closed, then R is F-injective.*

Proof. (1): Set $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_t)$. Then $I^{[p^n]}$ is Frobenius closed by assumption. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
R/I & \longrightarrow & R/I^{[p]} & \longrightarrow & R/I^{[p^2]} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
{\scriptstyle F}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle F}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle F}\downarrow & & \\
R/I^{[p]} & \longrightarrow & R/I^{[p^2]} & \longrightarrow & R/I^{[p^3]} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

where each vertical map is the Frobenius and each map in the horizontal direction is multiplication map by $(x_1 \cdots x_t)^{p^e - p^{e-1}}$ in the corresponding spot. The direct limits of both lines are $H_I^t(R)$ and the vertical map is exactly the Frobenius action on $H_I^t(R)$. Since $I^{[p^n]}$ is Frobenius closed, each vertical map is injective. Hence the direct limit map is injective. Therefore, the Frobenius acts injectively on $H_I^t(R)$. To show that Frobenius acts injectively on $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(R)$, we need Nagel-Schenzel isomorphism:

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(R) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0\big(H_I^t(R)\big).$$

Thus, the Frobenius action on $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(R)$ is the direct limit of the following direct system

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/I) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/I^{[p]}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/I^{[p^2]}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
{\scriptstyle F}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle F}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle F}\downarrow & & \\
H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/I^{[p]}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/I^{[p^2]}) & \longrightarrow & H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R/I^{[p^3]}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

proving that R is F-injective, as claimed.

(2): There exists a filter regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ that is a system of parameters of R by prime avoidance lemma. Let $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_t)$. Then by [27, Lemma 3.1], the ideals I and $I^{[p^n]}$ are both Frobenius closed for $0 \leq t \leq d$ and $n \geq 0$. Using this together with the above discussions, we conclude that Frobenius acts injectively on $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(R)$. $\qquad \square$

Remark 3.8. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ with a regular element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. Assume that every parameter ideal of R/xR is Frobenius closed. Then we claim that the Frobenius action on $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^t(R)$ is injective, where $t = \operatorname{depth} R$. Indeed, R/xR is F-injective by Theorem 3.7 and the claim follows by [19, Lemma A1]. We will slightly generalize this statement as Corollary 3.14.

Corollary 3.9. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *Every parameter ideal of R is Frobenius closed.*

(2) *There is a parameter ideal of R that is Frobenius closed.*

(3) *R is F-injective.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is trivial, (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) by Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 3.2 and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) by [5, Lemma 10.3.20]. $\qquad \square$

Remark 3.10. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a reduced F-finite local ring. Then by a theorem of Kunz [25], R is an excellent ring. Hence the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion $\widehat{R}$ is also reduced and F-finite. Since R is F-finite, it is known that it is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring by Gabber [11, Remark 13.6]. Hence R admits a dualizing complex.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be an F-injective local ring. Then R is a reduced ring. If furthermore R is F-finite, then $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is F-injective for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$.*

Proof. Using Γ-construction as in [27, Theorem 3.4], we have a faithfully flat extension:

$$R \to \widehat{R}^\Gamma \to S := \widehat{\widehat{R}^\Gamma}.$$

and S is shown to be an F-finite F-injective local ring. If we can show that S is reduced, then R is also reduced. So we may henceforth assume that R is F-finite and F-injective. Note that R has a dualizing complex by Remark 3.10. Then the fact that R is reduced is found in [34, Remark 2.6] and the fact that $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is F-injective is found in [33, Proposition 4.3]. $\square$

The following is of independent interest.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a reduced F-finite local ring and let*

$$U := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid R_\mathfrak{p} \text{ is } F\text{-injective}\}.$$

Then U is a Zariski open subset of $\operatorname{Spec} R$.

Proof. We may present R as a homomorphic image of a regular local ring S of Krull dimension n. Set $d = \dim R$. Then $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is F-injective if and only if the natural map

$$H^i_{\mathfrak{p} R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}) \to H^i_{\mathfrak{p} R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}^{1/p})$$

is injective for all $i \leq \dim R_\mathfrak{p}$. Let P be the preimage of $\mathfrak{p}$ in S under the surjection $S \twoheadrightarrow R$. Note that $\dim S_P = n - \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$ (S is a catenary domain). By Grothendieck's local duality theorem, the map

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{S_P}^{n - \dim R/\mathfrak{p} - i}(R_\mathfrak{p}^{1/p}, S_P) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{S_P}^{n - \dim R/\mathfrak{p} - i}(R_\mathfrak{p}, S_P)$$

is surjective for all $i \leq \dim R_\mathfrak{p}$. For each $i \leq d$, we set

$$C_i := \operatorname{Coker}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R^{1/p}, S) \to \operatorname{Ext}_S^{n-i}(R, S)\right).$$

Therefore, $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is F-injective if and only if $\mathfrak{p} \notin \bigcup_{i=0}^d \operatorname{Supp}(C_i)$, which is a closed subset in $\operatorname{Spec} R$ since C_i is finitely generated for all $i \leq d$. $\square$

Proposition 3.13. *Assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is an F-finite F-injective local ring. Then every ideal generated by a regular sequence is Frobenius closed.*

Proof. By Remark 3.10, R has a dualizing complex. Let $t = \operatorname{depth} R$ and $d = \dim R$. Since the length of every regular sequence of maximal length is equal to t, it is enough to prove that every ideal generated by a regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ is Frobenius closed by [27, Lemma 3.1]. We proceed by induction on d. The case $d = 1$ follows from Corollary 3.9, since R is Cohen-Macaulay. For $d > 1$, if $t = d$, then we use Corollary 3.9 again. Therefore, we can assume henceforth that $t < d$. Set $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_t)$. We have

$$H^t_I(R) \cong \varinjlim R/I^{[q]}.$$

Since I is generated by a regular sequence, all the maps in the direct system are injective. Thus, the natural map $R/I \to H^t_I(R)$ is injective. Suppose that $a \in R$ satisfies $a^q \in I^{[q]}$ for some $q = p^e$. Let $\overline{a}$ be the image of $a + I \in R/I$ in $H^t_I(R)$. Then $\overline{a}$ is nilpotent under the Frobenius action on

$H_I^t(R)$. Let $N \subseteq R/I$ be the cyclic R-module generated by $a + I \in R/I$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal such that $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$. Then $N_\mathfrak{p} = 0$ quite evidently. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal such that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$. Then $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is F-injective by Lemma 3.11 and $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ is also an $R_\mathfrak{p}$-regular sequence. By induction hypothesis, $IR_\mathfrak{p}$ is Frobenius closed and we have $a \in IR_\mathfrak{p}$, which implies that $N_\mathfrak{p} = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$ and N is a finite length R/I-module. That is, $a \in I : \mathfrak{m}^k$ for $k \gg 0$. Note that $H_I^t(R) \cong H_\mathfrak{m}^0(H_I^t(R))$. Therefore $\overline{a} \in H_\mathfrak{m}^t(R)$. Since R is F-injective, we have $\overline{a} = 0$. Hence the injectivity of $R/I \to H_I^t(R)$ shows that $a \in I$. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 3.14. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be an F-finite local ring and let $I = (x, x_2, \ldots, x_t)$ be an ideal of R which is generated by a regular sequence. Assume that R/xR is F-injective. Then the Frobenius action on both $H_I^t(R)$ and $H_\mathfrak{m}^t(R)$ are injective.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.13, the ideal $(\overline{x_2}, \ldots, \overline{x_t}) \subseteq R/xR$ is Frobenius closed. We prove that $I = (x, x_2, \ldots, x_d)$ is Frobenius closed. For this, let $u^q \in (x^q, x_2^q, \ldots, x_d^q)$ for $u \in R$ and $q = p^e \gg 0$. Then mapping this relation to the quotient ring R/xR and since $\overline{x_2}, \ldots, \overline{x_d}$ forms a system of parameters of R/xR, we have $u \in xR + (x_2, \ldots, x_d)$ by the assumption that R/xR is F-injective, proving that I is Frobenius closed. By Lemma 3.2, the Frobenius power $I^{[p^e]}$ is also Frobenius closed.

We consider the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
R/I & \longrightarrow & R/I^{[p]} & \longrightarrow & R/I^{[p^2]} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
\ \ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F} & & \ \ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F} & & \ \ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F} & & \\
R/I^{[p]} & \longrightarrow & R/I^{[p^2]} & \longrightarrow & R/I^{[p^3]} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

The vertical map is the Frobenius map and the direct limit of the horizontal direction is the local cohomology $H_I^t(R)$ and the Frobenius action on $H_I^t(R)$ is injective. It is clear that a regular sequence is a filter regular sequence and by Nagel-Schenzel isomorphism:

$$H_\mathfrak{m}^t(R) \cong H_\mathfrak{m}^0(H_I^t(R)),$$

the Frobenius action on $H_\mathfrak{m}^t(R)$ is injective. $\qquad\square$

Remark 3.15. Assume that R is a weakly normal Noetherian ring of characteristic p. Then we can show that every principal ideal generated by a regular element $x \in R$ is Frobenius closed. To see this, let $y \in (x)^F$. Then $y^q \in (x^q)$ for some $q = p^e$. Hence we have

$$\left(\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{p^{e-1}}\right)^p = \left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{p^e} \in R.$$

Considering this relation to belong to the total ring of fractions of R, we must have $\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)^{p^{e-1}} \in R$ by the definition of weak normality. That is, $y^{p^{e-1}} \in (x^{p^{e-1}})$. By induction on $e \geq 0$, it follows that $y \in (x)$, proving that the principal ideal (x) is Frobenius closed. If $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is an F-finite F-injective local ring, then R is weakly normal (cf. [33, Theorem 4.7]).

Recall that an F-injective local ring is reduced by Lemma 3.11.

Corollary 3.16. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be an F-finite F-injective local ring. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ be a regular sequence of R. Then $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ is a regular sequence of $R^{1/q}/R$.*

Proof. For $i = 0, \ldots, t$, let $I_i = (x_1, \ldots, x_i)$. By Proposition 3.13, I_i is Frobenius closed for all $i \leq t$. Thus we have the following short exact sequence

$$0 \to R/I_iR \to R^{1/q}/I_iR^{1/q} \to (R^{1/q}/R)/I_i(R^{1/q}/R) \to 0.$$

For each $i = 1, \ldots, t$ we consider the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & R/I_{i-1}R & \longrightarrow & R^{1/q}/I_{i-1}R^{1/q} & \longrightarrow & (R^{1/q}/R)/I_{i-1}(R^{1/q}/R) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow{\scriptstyle x_i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle x_i} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle x_i} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & R/I_{i-1}R & \longrightarrow & R^{1/q}/I_{i-1}R^{1/q} & \longrightarrow & (R^{1/q}/R)/I_{i-1}(R^{1/q}/R) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}
$$

Since $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ is a regular sequence of both R and $R^{1/q}$ we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to 0 :_{(R^{1/q}/R)/I_{i-1}(R^{1/q}/R)} x_i \to R/I_iR \to R^{1/q}/I_iR^{1/q} \to (R^{1/q}/R)/I_i(R^{1/q}/R) \to 0.$$

Therefore $0 :_{(R^{1/q}/R)/I_{i-1}(R^{1/q}/R)} x_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, t$. Thus $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ is a regular sequence of $R^{1/q}/R$. $\square$

We need the following corollary in the sequel.

Corollary 3.17. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be an F-finite F-injective local ring. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ be a filter regular sequence of R. Then $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ is a filter regular sequence of $R^{1/q}/R$.*

Proof. $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is a reduced F-finite F-injective local ring for $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ by Lemma 3.11. The corollary follows from Lemma 3.5 together with Corollary 3.16. $\square$

4. Generalized Cohen-Macaulay rings

Let us recall the definition of generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules. Let $\ell_R(M)$ denote the length of an R-module M. Let M be a finitely generated module over a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ and let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a parameter ideal of M. We denote by $e(\mathfrak{q}, M)$ the multiplicity of M with respect to $\mathfrak{q}$ (cf. [5] for details).

Definition 4.1. Let M be a finitely generated module over a Noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ such that $d = \dim M > 0$. Then M is called *generalized Cohen-Macaulay*, if the difference

$$\ell_R(M/\mathfrak{q}M) - e(\mathfrak{q}, M)$$

is bounded above, where $\mathfrak{q}$ ranges over the set of all parameter ideals of M.

The following characterization of generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules play the key role in this section.

Theorem 4.2. *M is generalized Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $H^i_\mathfrak{m}(M)$ is finitely generated for all $i < d$.*

Remark 4.3. Let the notation be as in Definition 4.1.
  (1) Under mild conditions of the base ring, M is generalized Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus is isolated, and if and only if every system of parameters forms a filter regular sequence (cf. [6]).
  (2) Let M be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay R-module over $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ such that $d = \dim M > 0$. Then

$$\ell_R(M/\mathfrak{q}M) - e(\mathfrak{q}, M) \le \binom{d-1}{i} \ell_R(H^i_\mathfrak{m}(M))$$

  for every parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of M.

4.1. **Buchsbaum rings and standard sequence.**

Definition 4.4 (cf. [39]). Let M be a finitely generated module over a Noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ such that $d = \dim M > 0$. A parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of M is called *standard* if

$$\ell_R(M/\mathfrak{q}M) - e(\mathfrak{q}, M) = \binom{d-1}{i} \ell_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)).$$

We say that M is *Buchsbaum*, if every parameter ideal of M is standard.

We will use the following characterization of standard parameter ideal as its definition (cf. Theorem 4.8).

Theorem 4.5. *A parameter ideal* $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ *of* M *is standard if and only if for every* $i+j < d$, *we have the equality:*

$$\mathfrak{q} \cdot H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i\left(\frac{M}{(x_1, \ldots, x_j)M}\right) = 0.$$

Proof. See [39, Theorem 2.5].   □

Remark 4.6. Let M be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module over $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ such that $d = \dim M > 0$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a positive integer such that $\mathfrak{m}^n \cdot H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) = 0$ for all $i < d$. Then every parameter element $x \in \mathfrak{m}^{2n}$ of M admits the splitting property, i.e., $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M/xM) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) \oplus H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{i+1}(M)$ for all $i < d-1$. Furthermore, every parameter ideal contained in $\mathfrak{m}^{2n}$ is standard (cf. [7]).

Proposition 4.7. *Let* M *be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module over* $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ *such that* $d = \dim M > 0$ *and let* $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ *be a parameter ideal of* M. *Then*

$$\ell_R(H^i(\mathfrak{q}; M)) := \ell_R(H^i(x_1, \ldots, x_d; M)) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{d}{i-j} \ell_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M))$$

for all $i < d$.

Proof. See [37, Proposition 1.4].   □

Theorem 4.8. *Let* M *be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay module over* $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ *such that* $d = \dim M > 0$ *and let* $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ *be a parameter ideal of* M. *Then the following statements are equivalent.*

  (1) $\mathfrak{q}$ *is a standard parameter ideal of* M.
  (2) The canonical map $H^i(\mathfrak{q}; M) \to H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ *is surjective for all* $i < d$.
  (3) $\ell_R(H^i(\mathfrak{q}; M)) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{d}{i-j} \ell_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M))$ *for all* $i < d$.

Proof. (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) follows from [39, Theorem 3.4].
    (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is well known in Buchsbaum ring theory (see [37, Corollary 1.6]), as every parameter ideal is standard in a Buchsbaum module.

$(3) \Rightarrow (1)$, by [5, Theorem 4.7.6] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell_R(M/\mathfrak{q}M) - e(\mathfrak{q}, M) &= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^{d-1-i} \ell_R(H^i(\mathfrak{q}; M)) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} (-1)^{d-1-i} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{d}{i-j} \ell_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M)) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{d-1} (-1)^{d-1-i} \binom{d}{i-j} \right) \ell_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M)) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{j} \ell_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{q}$ is standard. $\qquad\square$

The advantage of using characterizations of generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules and standard parameter ideals via local cohomology as in Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.5 is that it allows us to consider the problem in a more general context.

Definition 4.9. (cf. [4, Definition 9.1.3]) Let M be a finitely generated module over a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$. The *finiteness dimension $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ of M with respect to* $\mathfrak{m}$ is defined as follows:

$$
f_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) := \inf\{i \,|\, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) \text{ is not finitely generated}\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}.
$$

Remark 4.10. Assume that $\dim M = 0$ or $M = 0$ (recall that a trivial module has dimension -1). In this case, $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ is finitely generated for all i and $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is equal to ∞. It will be essential to know when the finiteness dimension is a positive integer. We mention the following result. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and let M be a finitely generated R-module. If $d = \dim M > 0$, then the local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$ is not finitely generated. For the proof of this result, see [4, Corollary 7.3.3].

Definition 4.11. Let M be a finitely generated module over a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ such that $t = f_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$ and let $x_1, \ldots, x_s$, $s \leq t$, be a filter regular sequence on M. Then we say that $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a *standard sequence* of M if

$$
(x_1, \ldots, x_s) \cdot H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i\left(\frac{M}{(x_1, \ldots, x_j)M} \right) = 0
$$

for all $i + j < s$.

Remark 4.12. Let the notation be as in Definition 4.9.

(1) Let M be a finitely generated module over $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ such that $d = \dim M > 0$. Then M is generalized Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = d$.
(2) Assume that $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$. By Grothendieck's finiteness theorem, we have

$$
f_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = \min\{\operatorname{depth}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) + \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \,|\, \mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}\},
$$

provided that R is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring (cf. [4, Theorem 9.5.2]).

The following lemma is the reformulation of Proposition 4.7 in our context (cf. [14, Section 3]).

Lemma 4.13. *Let M be a finitely generated module over $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$. Let $t = f_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$, let $x_1, \ldots, x_s$, $s \leq t$, be a filter regular sequence on M and let $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_s)$. Then*

$$\ell_R(H^i(I; M)) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{s}{i-j} \ell_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M))$$

for all $i < s$.

The following theorem is the reformulation of Theorem 4.8 in our context (cf. [14, Proposition 3.15]).

Theorem 4.14. *Let M be a finitely generated module over $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$. Let $t = f_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) < \infty$, let $x_1, \ldots, x_s$, $s \leq t$, be a filter regular sequence on M and let $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_s)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ *is a standard sequence of M.*
(2) *The canonical map $H^i(I; M) \to H_I^i(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ is surjective for all $i < s$, where the isomorphism is due to Nagel and Schenzel.*
(3) $\ell_R(H^i(I; M)) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{s}{i-j} \ell_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(M))$ *for all $i < s$.*

4.2. **Frobenius closed parameter ideals II.** We prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.15. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a reduced F-finite local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and let $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ be a filter regular sequence of $R^{1/p}/R$. Then it is also a filter regular sequence of $R^{1/q}/R$ for all $q = p^e$.*

Proof. Using Lemma 3.5 (3) and applying the induction for the sequence

$$0 \to R^{1/p}/R \to R^{1/q}/R \to R^{1/q}/R^{1/p} \to 0,$$

we get the proof of the lemma.   □

The following is the first main result of this section.

Theorem 4.16. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a reduced F-finite local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ with $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = t$ and let $0 < s \leq t$ be an integer. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) *The Frobenius action on $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R)$ is injective for all $i \leq s$.*
(2) *Every filter regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ of both R and $R^{1/p}/R$ generates a Frobenius closed ideal of R and it is a standard sequence on R.*
(3) *Every filter regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ of both R and $R^{1/p}/R$ generates a Frobenius closed ideal of R.*

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is clear and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) follows from Theorem 3.7.

For (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2), let $x_1, \ldots, x_s$, $s \leq t$, be a filter regular sequence of both R and $R^{1/p}/R$. We prove that $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is standard and the ideal $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_s)$ is Frobenius closed. Let $n_0 > 0$ be an integer such that $\mathfrak{m}^{n_0} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) = 0$ for all $i < s$. For each $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, choose $e \geq 1$ such that $q = p^e > n_0$. We have $F_*^e(x H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R)) = x^q F_*^e(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R)) = 0$, where F_* is the natural Frobenius action on the local cohomology. By F-injectivity of R, we have $x \cdot H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) = 0$ and so $\mathfrak{m} \cdot H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) = 0$ for all $i < s$. By [7, Theorem 1.1, Corollary 4.1], it is easy to see that $x_1^q, \ldots, x_s^q$ is a standard sequence of R for all $q = p^e \geq 2$. It is equivalent to say that $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a standard sequence of $R^{1/q}$. Since R is reduced and F-finite, we get a short exact sequence of finitely generated R-modules:

$$0 \to R \to R^{1/q} \to R^{1/q}/R \to 0.$$

Because the Frobenius action on $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is injective for all $i \leq s$, each induced homomorphism $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R^{1/q})$ is injective for all $i \leq s$. Thus we have short exact sequences

$$0 \to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R^{1/q}) \to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R^{1/q}/R) \to 0$$

for all $i < s$. Therefore

$$\ell_R(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R^{1/q})) = \ell_R(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)) + \ell_R(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R^{1/q}/R))$$

for all $i < s$. By Lemma 4.15, $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a filter regular sequence of $R^{1/q}/R$. Applying Lemma 4.13 and Theorem 4.14, for all $i < s$ we have

$$\ell_R(H^i(I; R)) \ \leq \ \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{s}{i-j} \ell_R(H^j_{\mathfrak{m}}(R))$$

$$\ell_R(H^i(I; R^{1/q})) \ = \ \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{s}{i-j} \ell_R(H^j_{\mathfrak{m}}(R^{1/q}))$$

$$\ell_R(H^i(I; R^{1/q}/R)) \ \leq \ \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{s}{i-j} \ell_R(H^j_{\mathfrak{m}}(R^{1/q}/R)),$$

where the middle equation follows from the fact that $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a standard sequence of $R^{1/q}$. On the other hand, by applying Koszul cohomology to the sequence

$$0 \to R \to R^{1/q} \to R^{1/q}/R \to 0,$$

we have $\ell_R(H^i(I; R^{1/q})) \leq \ell_R(H^i(I; R)) + \ell_R(H^i(I; R^{1/q}/R))$ for all $i < s$. Therefore,

$$\ell_R(H^i(I; R^{1/q})) \ = \ \ell(H^i(I; R)) + \ell_R(H^i(I; R^{1/q}/R)) \quad (\star)$$

$$\ell_R(H^i(I; R)) \ = \ \sum_{j=0}^{i} \binom{s}{i-j} \ell_R(H^j_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)) \quad (\star\star)$$

for all $i < s$. By $(\star\star)$ and Theorem 4.14, it follows that $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a standard sequence of R. Applying $(\star)$ to the exact sequence of Koszul cohomology:

$$0 \to H^0(I; R) \to H^0(I; R^{1/q}) \to H^0(I; R^{1/q}/R) \to \cdots$$

$$\to H^{s-1}(I; R^{1/q}/R) \to H^s(I; R) \to H^s(I; R^{1/q}) \to \cdots$$

we have short exact sequences

$$0 \to H^i(I; R) \to H^i(I; R^{1/q}) \to H^i(I; R^{1/q}/R) \to 0$$

for all $i < s$ and the injection

$$0 \to H^s(I; R) \cong R/I \to H^s(I; R^{1/q}) \cong R^{1/q}/IR^{1/q}$$

for $q = p^e$ with $e > 0$. Thus, I is Frobenius closed. $\qquad\square$

Remark 4.17. The condition that $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a filter regular sequence of both R and $R^{1/p}/R$ is necessary. To see this, take A to be a reduced and F-finite Cohen-Macaulay local ring which is not F-injective. So there exists a parameter ideal $(x_1, \ldots, x_s)$ of A that is not Frobenius closed with $s = \dim A$. Let $R := A[[x]]$. Then R is Cohen-Macaulay and so $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = \dim R = \dim A + 1$. The assumption on the injectivity of the Frobenius is clear, since $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = 0$ for all $i \leq s$. However, $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a regular sequence of R of length s, which generates a non-Frobenius closed ideal of R.

In the same spirits of the method in the proof of [36, Chapter I, Proposition 1.9], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.18. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and with $\mathfrak{a}$ an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal and $\ell_R(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{a}) = n$. Let $M_1, \ldots, M_k$ be finitely generated R-modules with $\dim M_i = d > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Then we can find a set of generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ such that for any subset $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $\sharp J = d$, the sequence $\{x_j \mid j \in J\}$ forms a filter regular sequence on all of $M_1, \ldots, M_k$ in any order.*

The following theorem is the second main result of this section, which can be seen as a generalization of Proposition 3.13.

Theorem 4.19. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be an F-injective local ring with $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = t$. Then every filter regular sequence of length at most t is a standard sequence and the ideal generated by it is Frobenius closed.*

Proof. If $\dim R = 0$, then R is a field by assumption and there is nothing to prove. Therefore, we may assume that $\dim R > 0$ and hence $t < \infty$. It is clear that $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = 0$, so depth $R > 0$. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_s$, $s \le t$, be a filter regular sequence of R. Using Γ-construction as in [27, Theorem 3.4], consider a chain of faithfully flat extensions of local rings of the same Krull dimension:

$$R \to \widehat{R}^{\Gamma} \to S := \widehat{\widehat{R}^{\Gamma}}.$$

Then we have

$$\big((x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}) :_R x_i)/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})\big) \otimes_R S \cong ((x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}) :_S x_i)/(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1})$$

and therefore, $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a filter regular sequence on R if and only if so is on S. Likewise, since local cohomology commutes with flat base change, $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a standard sequence on R if and only if so is on S. Finally, the ideal $(x_1, \ldots, x_s)$ is Frobenius closed if and only if so is $(x_1, \ldots, x_s)S$. Hence, we may assume that R is an F-finite F-injective complete local ring (the fact that R is reduced follows from Lemma 3.11). Moreover by Corollary 3.17, $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a filter regular sequence of $R^{1/q}/R$ for all $q = p^e$. The theorem now follows from Theorem 4.16. $\qquad\square$

We recover the following corollary which is the main result of [27], giving an answer to Takagi's question (cf. [24, Open problem A.3]). It is noted that the proof of [27] relies on [13].

Corollary 4.20. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay F-injective local ring. Then R is Buchsbaum.*

Proof. Under the stated assumption, every system of parameters of R is a filter regular sequence by Lemma 3.5. The corollary follows from Definition 4.4 and Theorem 4.19. $\qquad\square$

Remark 4.21. Ma proved the following result in [27]. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Then R is F-injective if and only if every parameter ideal is Frobenius closed.

4.3. **Frobenius closed parameter ideals III.** Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Set $d = \dim R$. In order to show that R is F-injective, it is necessary to consider all local cohomology modules, while it suffices to consider local cohomology modules except the one of top degree to check the Buchsbaumness on R. It thus seems natural to pose the following question.

Question 2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a reduced F-finite generalized Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Suppose that the Frobenius acts on $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ injectively for all $i < d$. Then is R Buchsbaum?*

We will work with the finiteness dimension, in which the following lemma plays a role. Its proof is the adaptation of that of [39, Theorem 3.4].

Lemma 4.22. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ be an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal. Let $s \leq f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ be an integer. Then the following are equivalent.*

 (1) *The canonical map $H^i(\mathfrak{a}; R) \to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is surjective for all $i < s$.*
 (2) *Every filter regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in \mathfrak{a}$ is standard on R.*
 (3) *The ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ has a set of generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ such that for every subset $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $\sharp J = s$, the sequence $\{x_j \,|\, j \in J\}$ forms a filter regular sequence on R (in any order) and this sequence is standard.*

As observed from the remark after Theorem 4.16, it is not true that any filter regular sequence of R of length s generates a Frobenius closed ideal under the assumption that the Frobenius action on $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is injective for all $i \leq s$. However, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.23. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a reduced F-finite local ring with $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = t \geq 1$ and let $s \leq t$ be a positive integer. Suppose that the Frobenius action is injective on $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ for all $i < s$. Then every filter regular sequence of length s of R is standard.*

Proof. Put $n = \dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. By Lemma 4.18, we can find a set of generators $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of $\mathfrak{m}$ such that for every subset $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $\sharp J = s$, the sequence $\{x_j \,|\, j \in J\}$ forms a filter regular sequence (in any order) of both R and $R^{1/p}/R$. By Theorem 4.16, we find that $x_1, \ldots, x_{s-1}$ is a standard sequence and $(x_1, \ldots, x_i)$ is Frobenius closed for all $i < s$. Using the technique of [27, Proposition 3.3], we find that $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ is a standard sequence. Now the theorem follows from Lemma 4.22. $\square$

We obtain the following corollary as an affirmative answer to Question 2.

Corollary 4.24. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a reduced F-finite generalized Cohen-Macaulay local ring with $d = \dim R$. Suppose the Frobenius action on $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is injective for all $i < d$. Then R is Buchsbaum.*

Note that the Buchsbaumness can be characterized in terms of the canonical map $\mathrm{Ext}^i(k, -) \to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(-)$ (cf. [36, Corollary 2.16]) or by the truncated dualizing complex (cf. [32, Theorem 2.3]). We need the following generalization for the finiteness dimension. For the details of the truncated dualizing complex $\tau^{>-s}\omega_R^\bullet$ and $\tau^{<s}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$, see [32] and [1].

Lemma 4.25. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring and let $s \leq f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ be an integer. Then the following are equivalent.*

 (1) *Every filter regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_s$ of R is standard.*
 (2) *The canonical map $H^i(\mathfrak{m}; R) \to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is surjective for all $i < s$.*

Suppose that there is a surjective ring map $(A, \mathfrak{m}, k) \twoheadrightarrow (R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ with A regular. Then the above conditions are equivalent to each of the following conditions.

 (a) *The canonical map $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(k, R) \to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is surjective for all $i < s$.*
 (b) *The $\tau^{<s}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of k-vector spaces.*
 (c) *The $\tau^{>-s}\omega_R^\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of k-vector spaces.*

Proof. The statements (*b*) and (*c*) are dual to each other. The lemma follows from Lemma 4.22, [39, Corollary 3.6] and [1, Lemma 3.7]. $\square$

The following theorem is a recent result of Bhatt, Ma and Schwede in [1, Main Theorem A, Theorem 3.4]. While their proof is based on difficult techniques of Bhatt and Scholze (cf. [2]), our proof is elementary.

Theorem 4.26. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a reduced F-finite local ring with $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = t \geq 1$ and let $0 < s \leq t$ be an integer. Suppose that the Frobenius action on $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is injective for all $i < s$. Then $\tau^{>-s}\omega^{\bullet}_R$ is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of k-vector spaces. Equivalently, $\tau^{<s}\mathbf{R}\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is quasi-isomorphic to a complex of k-vector spaces. In particular, these truncated complexes split into a direct sum of their cohomologies.*

Proof. Just combine Theorem 4.23 and Lemma 4.25. $\square$

4.4. **The small Cohen-Macaulay module conjecture.** We close this section with a link between generalized Cohen-Macaulay F-injective rings and the small Cohen-Macaulay module conjecture of Hochster.

Conjecture 4.27 (The small Cohen-Macaulay module conjecture)**.** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a complete local domain. Then R admits a finitely generated module M such that $\dim R = \operatorname{depth} M$.*

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a complete local domain with $d = \dim R$. Assume that $d = 2$. Then the small Cohen-Macaulay module conjecture is true by letting M equal the integral closure of R in the field of fractions of R. However, Conjecture 4.27 remains open in higher dimensional case. In the case $d = 3$, Hartshorne, Hochster, and Peskine and Szpiro independently proved that if R is an $\mathbb{N}$-graded finitely generated domain over a perfect field $R_0 = K$ of characteristic $p > 0$, then R has a graded maximal Cohen-Macaulay module [22, pages 224, 225]. In fact, we have the following stronger result (cf. [22, Exercise 21.25]).

Theorem 4.28. *Let R be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded domain, finitely generated over a perfect field $R_0 = K$ of characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose that there is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay graded module M such that $\dim M = \dim R$. Then R has a maximal Cohen-Macaulay graded R-module.*

The following result is also proved by Bhatt, Ma and Schwede in [1, Lemma 3.9], independently. In fact, the original idea comes from [15, Proposition 6.3.5].

Proposition 4.29. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a complete local domain of characteristic $p > 0$ with perfect residue field. Suppose that R is F-injective and generalized Cohen-Macaulay. Then for any $q = p^e$ with $e > 0$, $R^{1/q}/R$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R-module.*

Proof. As mentioned above, $R^{1/q}/R$ is a finitely generated R-module. From the proof of Theorem 4.19, we have

$$\ell_R(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R^{1/q})) = \ell_R(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)) + \ell_R(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R^{1/q}/R))$$

for all $i < d$. The R-length of $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R^{1/q})$ is computed as the R^q-length of $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ via an inclusion $R^q \subseteq R$. Then since $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a perfect field, we find that any simple R-module is also a simple R^q-module which is isomorphic to k. From this, it follows that $\ell_R(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R^{1/q})) = \ell_R(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R))$. So $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R^{1/q}/R) = 0$ for all $i < d$ and we are done. $\square$

5. F-injective, F-pure and stably FH-finite rings

Definition 5.1. Let R be a Noetherian ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Then R is said to be *F-pure* (resp. *F-split*), if the Frobenius endomorphism $R \to R$ is pure (resp. split).

It is easy to see that F-split rings are F-pure. If R is an F-finite ring, then R is F-pure if and only if R is F-split. We will consider F-pure rings, because they behave better than F-split rings in the non F-finite case. If R is F-pure, then every ideal is Frobenius closed, and the converse holds true under a mild condition (cf. [16]).

Definition 5.2. Let M be an R-module with a Frobenius action F. A submodule N of M is called *F-compatible* if $F(N) \subseteq N$.

In [26], Ma showed that the local cohomology modules of F-pure local rings satisfy certain interesting conditions originally studied in [9].

Definition 5.3. We say that an R-module M with a Frobenius action F is *anti-nilpotent*, if for any F-compatible submodule N, the induced Frobenius action of F on M/N is injective. We say that $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is *stably FH-finite*, if the local cohomology $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ are anti-nilpotent for all $i \geq 0$.

For later use, we prove the following lemma. We consider M as an $R\{F\}$-module via the Frobenius action F.

Lemma 5.4. *Let R be a Noetherian ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Then*
  (1) *Let $0 \to L \to M \to N \to 0$ be a short exact sequence of $R\{F\}$-modules. Then M is anti-nilpotent if and only if so are L and N.*
  (2) *Let $L \to M \xrightarrow{\alpha} N$ be an exact sequence of $R\{F\}$-modules such that L is anti-nilpotent and F acts injectively on N. Then F acts injectively on M.*

Proof. (1) is clear.
(2) We have a short exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ker}(\alpha) \to M \to \mathrm{Im}(\alpha) \to 0.$$

Then F acts injectively on $\mathrm{Ker}(\alpha)$, since $\mathrm{Ker}(\alpha)$ is an $R\{F\}$-subquotient of L and L is anti-nilpotent. Moreover, F acts injectively on $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha)$, since $\mathrm{Im}(\alpha)$ is an $R\{F\}$-submodule of N and F acts injectively on N. Now F acts injectively on M. $\qquad\square$

It is clear that a stably FH-finite local ring is F-injective. We need the following result in the sequel (cf. [26, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 3.8]).

Theorem 5.5. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be an F-pure local ring. Then the local cohomology modules $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ are anti-nilpotent for all $i \geq 0$ i.e., R is stably FH-finite.*

We prove the main result of this section, which is a variation of [33, Proposition 4.8].

Theorem 5.6. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic p. Suppose there exist ideals I, J of R such that $R/(I + J)$ is F-pure, R/I and R/J are F-injective. Then $R/(I \cap J)$ is F-injective.*

Proof. There is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to R/(I \cap J) \xrightarrow{p_1} R/I \oplus R/J \xrightarrow{p_2} R/(I + J) \to 0,$$

where $p_1(a) = (a, -a)$ and $p_2(a, b) = a + b$ and this is compatible with the Frobenius. Taking local cohomology, we get

$$\longrightarrow H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/(I + J)) \xrightarrow{h} H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/(I \cap J)) \xrightarrow{g} H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I) \oplus H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/J) \longrightarrow$$

$$\downarrow{F_3} \qquad\qquad\qquad \downarrow{F_1} \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \downarrow{F_2}$$

$$\longrightarrow H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/(I + J)) \xrightarrow{h} H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/(I \cap J)) \xrightarrow{g} H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I) \oplus H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/J) \longrightarrow$$

By assumption, $R/(I + J)$ is F-pure and its local cohomology is anti-nilpotent by Theorem 5.5. That is, the Frobenius action on $\mathrm{Im}(h)$ induced by F_3 is injective. Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}(F_1)$. First assume that $g(\alpha) \neq 0$. Then $F_2(g(\alpha)) \neq 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence we must have $g(\alpha) = 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{Im}(h)$. By injectivity of the Frobenius, we have $\alpha = 0$. This proves that the map F_1 is injective. Since this is true for all $i \geq 0$, we conclude that $R/(I \cap J)$ is F-injective. $\qquad\square$

With the same idea of the proof of Theorem 5.6 together with Lemma 5.4 (1), we have the following result.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic p. Suppose that there exist ideals I, J of R such that R/I, R/J and $R/(I + J)$ are stably FH-finite. Then $R/(I \cap J)$ is stably FH-finite.*

Theorem 5.6 and Theorem 5.7 are useful in the construction of examples of non-Cohen-Macaulay local rings which are F-injective and not F-pure. To the best of authors' knowledge, examples of such type do not abound in literatures. We examine the construction of such local rings in the next section.

6. EXAMPLES

6.1. **Patching F-injective closed subschemes.** The aim of this section is to give an explicit example of F-injective ring with a parameter ideal that is not Frobenius closed. To do that, we need examples of F-injective rings that are neither F-pure nor generalized Cohen-Macaulay (with "patching" from the previous section). We start with a well-known example of Fedder [10] and Singh [35].

Lemma 6.1. *Let K be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let*

$$R := K[[U, V, Y, Z]]/(UV, UZ, Z(V - Y^2)).$$

Then R is stably FH-finite (so F-injective).

Proof. Let $S = K[[U, V, Y, Z]]$. Note that

$$(UV, UZ, Z(V - Y^2)) = (U, V - Y^2) \cap (Z, U) \cap (Z, V) = (U, V - Y^2) \cap (Z, UV)$$

Let $I = (U, V - Y^2)$ and $J = (Z, UV)$, so $I + J = (U, V - Y^2, Z)$. Therefore S/I and $S/(I + J)$ are regular rings and S/J is F-pure, since J is a square-free ideal (cf. [17, Proposition 5.38]). By Theorems 5.5 and 5.7 we have $R \cong S/(I \cap J)$ is stably FH-finite. $\square$

Remark 6.2. Let R be the ring as in Lemma 6.1. We have the following.
  (1) By [19, Corollary 4.14], F-purity deforms F-injectivity. We can prove that R is F-injective in the following way. Let u, v, y and z denote the image of U, V, Y and Z in R (and its quotients), respectively. Then y is a regular element of R and $R/(y) \cong K[[U, V, Z]]/(UV, UZ, VZ)$ is an F-pure ring by [17, Proposition 5.38]. Therefore R is F-injective.
  (2) By [35, Example 3.2], R is not F-pure. However, we can check that R is Cohen-Macaulay so every parameter ideal of R is Frobenius closed.

We now use Theorems 5.6 and 5.7 to construct F-injective rings that are neither F-pure nor generalized Cohen-Macaulay. We will produce all necessary computations.

Example 6.3. Let K be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let

$$R := K[[U, V, Y, Z, T]]/(T) \cap (UV, UZ, Z(V - Y^2)).$$

This is an F-finite non-equidimensional local ring of dimension 4. Let u, v, y, z and t denote the image of U, V, Y, Z and T in R (or the quotient ring of R), respectively. Let $S = K[[U, V, Y, Z, T]]$, $I = (T)$ and $J = (UV, UZ, Z(V - Y^2))$. By the similar method as in the proof of Lemma 6.1, we find that R is stably FH-finite, so is F-injective.

On the other hand, the only associated prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ such that $R/\mathfrak{p} = 4$ is (t). Let $a := y^2(u^2 - z^4)$. Then a is a parameter element of R. Since $a \in (u, z)$, we see that $a \in R$ is a zero

divisor. Now we prove that the ideal $(a) \subseteq R$ is not Frobenius closed. We have $zvt = zy^2t$ and $y^{2p}u^{2p} = y^{2p}z^{4p}$ in the ring $R/(a)^{[p]}$ and it follows that

$$(y^3z^4t)^p = y^{3p}z^{4p}t^p = y^{3p-2}y^2z^{4p}t^p = y^{3p-2}vz^{4p}t^p = y^{3p-2}u^{2p}vt^p = 0$$

in $R/(a)^{[p]}$. That is, we have $(y^3z^4t)^p \in (a)^{[p]}$. Next consider the equation

$$Y^3Z^4T = A(Y^2(U^2 - Z^4)) + B(TUV) + C(TUZ) + D(TZ(V - Y^2))$$

in $K[[U,V,Y,Z,T]]$. We have $A = TA'$. Then dividing this equation out by T, we simply get

$$Y^3Z^4 = A'(Y^2(U^2 - Z^4)) + B(UV) + C(UZ) + D(Z(V - Y^2)).$$

Taking this equation modulo (U, V), we have $A' = -Y$ and $Y^3U = B(V) + C(Z) + D'(Z(V - Y^2))$, where $D = UD'$. Thus $Y^3U \in (V, Z)$ and this is impossible. Hence $y^3z^4t \notin (a)$ and (a) is not Frobenius closed. Thus R is F-injective, but not F-pure. Moreover, a generalized Cohen-Macaulay local ring is equidimensional, so R is not generalized Cohen-Macaulay.

Based on the previous example, we can construct a local ring that is equidimensional, F-injective but not generalized Cohen-Macaulay and not F-pure.

Example 6.4. Let K be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let

$$R := K[[U,V,Y,Z,T,S]]/(T, S) \cap (UV, UZ, Z(V - Y^2)).$$

This is an equidimensional local ring of dimension 4. As in the the previous example, we find that R is stably FH-finite, so it is F-injective. To show that R is not F-pure, consider

$$Y^3Z^4T = A(Y^2(U^2 - Z^4)) + B(TUV) + C(TUZ) + D(TZ(V - Y^2))$$
$$+ E(SUV) + F(SUZ) + G(SZ(V - Y^2))$$

in the ring $K[[U,V,Y,Z,T,S]]$. Taking this equation modulo (S), we get

$$Y^3Z^4T = A(Y^2(U^2 - Z^4)) + B(TUV) + C(TUZ) + D(TZ(V - Y^2))$$

in $K[[U,V,Y,Z,T]]$. As in the previous example, we see that the ideal $(y^2(u^2 - z^4))$ is not Frobenius closed and thus, R is not F-pure. Set $I = (T, S)$ and $J = (UV, UZ, Z(V - Y^2))$. Moreover, both R/I and R/J are Cohen-Macaulay rings and we have $\dim R/I = \dim R/J = 4$ and $\dim R/(I + J) = 2$. Applying the local cohomology for the short exact sequence

$$0 \to R \to R/I \oplus R/J \to R/(I + J) \to 0,$$

we get the following exact sequence

$$0 \to H^2_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/(I + J)) \to H^3_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \to H^3_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/I) \oplus H^3_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/J) = 0.$$

Thus $H^3_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \cong H^2_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/(I+J))$ does not have finite length by Grothendieck's non-vanishing theorem (cf. [4, Corollary 7.3.3]). So R is not generalized Cohen-Macaulay.

The above examples prove the following main result of this section.

Theorem 6.5. *Let the ring R and the notation be as in Example 6.3. Then R is F-injective and has a parameter ideal that is not Frobenius closed.*

Proof. Let us construct a parameter ideal of R that is not Frobenius closed. Since (t) is the unique minimal associated prime of R such that $\dim R/(t) = 4$, the element $a = y^2(u^2 - z^4)$ is a parameter element of R. Note that $a \in R$ is a zero divisor, because $a \in (u, z)$. Thus, we can extend it to a full system of parameters a, x_2, x_3, x_4 of R. By the Krull intersection theorem, we have

$$(a) = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} (a, x_2^n, x_3^n, x_4^n).$$

By choosing $n \gg 0$, we find that $b = y^3 z^4 t$ is not contained in the parameter ideal (a, x_2^n, x_3^n, x_4^n). However, we have $b \in (a)^F \subseteq (a, x_2^n, x_3^n, x_4^n)^F$ as demonstrated in Example 6.3. Hence (a, x_2^n, x_3^n, x_4^n) is not Frobenius closed for $n \gg 0$.   □

We have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.6. *There exists an F-finite local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ of characteristic $p > 0$ which is non-Cohen-Macaulay, F-injective, but not F-pure. Moreover, R has a parameter ideal that is not Frobenius closed.*

Remark 6.7.   (1) We cannot put the ring R of Example 6.4 into Theorem 6.5 to deduce the same conclusion, because $a \in R$ is a not parameter element in this case. In view of Theorem 4.19 and Corollary 4.20, it seems hard to construct an example of an F-injective local domain with a parameter ideal that is not Frobenius closed. We make a useful comment on the construction of Buchsbaum rings. By [12], it is possible to construct a Buchsbaum ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ with $d = \dim R$ such that $\ell_R(H_\mathfrak{m}^i(R)) = s_i$, where $s_0, \ldots, s_{d-1}$ is any assigned sequence of non-negative integers.
   (2) It is worth noting that Theorem 6.5 also claims that the result of Theorem 4.19 is optimal. Indeed, we see that $\dim R = 4$ and $f_\mathfrak{m}(R) = \text{depth}(R) = 3$. By the prime avoidance theorem, we can choose a filter regular sequence x_1, x_2, x_3 (so it is a regular sequence) such that x_1, x_2, x_3, a form a system of parameters of R. Note that x_1, x_2, x_3, a form a filter regular sequence. Using the Krull intersection theorem as in the proof of Theorem 6.5 and Lemma 3.5 (3), we can assume that $b \notin (x_1, x_2, x_3, a)$. Therefore, we find that (x_1, x_2, x_3) is Frobenius closed by Theorem 4.19, but (x_1, x_2, x_3, a) is not Frobenius closed.

6.2. **Parameter F-closed rings.** We introduce a new class of F-singularities.

Definition 6.8. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. We say that R is a *parameter F-closed ring* if every ideal generated by a system of parameters of R is Frobenius closed.

We prove that the property of being parameter F-closed commutes with localization. It should be noted that we do not require the F-finiteness condition as in Lemma 3.11.

Proposition 6.9. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Then R is parameter F-closed if and only if $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is parameter F-closed for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}\, R$.*

Proof. Set $d = \dim R$. Then it suffices to show that if R is parameter F-closed, so is $R_\mathfrak{p}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}\, R$. Let I be a parameter ideal of $R_\mathfrak{p}$. Then we have $I = (a_1, \ldots, a_t)R_\mathfrak{p}$, where $t = \text{ht}\, \mathfrak{p}$ and $a_i \in R$. As there is nothing to prove when $t = 0$, we may assume that $t \geq 1$. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is minimal over $(a_1, \ldots, a_t)$. Let J be the $\mathfrak{p}$-primary component of $(a_1, \ldots, a_t)$. Then we have $I = JR_\mathfrak{p}$, $\text{ht}\, J = t$ and $\dim R/J \leq d - t$. We also have

$$(a_1) + J^2 \nsubseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}\, R, \dim R/\mathfrak{p}=d} \mathfrak{p}.$$

By [23, Theorem 124], we can find $b_1 \in J^2$ such that

$$x_1 := a_1 + b_1 \notin \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}\, R, \dim R/\mathfrak{p}=d} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Thus, $x_1 \in R$ is a parameter element. For each $1 < i \leq t$, by the same method, we can find $b_i \in J^2$ such that

$$x_i := a_i + b_i \notin \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}\, R/(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1}), \dim R/\mathfrak{p}=d-i+1} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Therefore, we obtain a part of system of parameters $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ of R such that $x_i = a_i + b_i$ for some $b_i \in J^2$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, t$. Then since $b_i \in I^2$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, t$, we have $(x_1, \ldots, x_t) \subseteq I$ and

$$I = (x_1, \ldots, x_t) + I^2.$$

So it follows from Nakayama's lemma that $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_t)R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Extend $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ to a full system of parameters $x_1, \ldots, x_d$. By assumption, the ideal $(x_1, \ldots, x_t, x_t^n, \ldots, x_d^n)$ of R is Frobenius closed for all $n \geq 1$. Then by [27, Lemma 3.1], $(x_1, \ldots, x_t)$ is also Frobenius closed. Finally, I is Frobenius closed by Lemma 3.3 and we have proved that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is parameter F-closed. $\square$

The following proposition allows us to pass to completion when we consider parameter F-closed condition.

Proposition 6.10. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Then R is parameter F-closed if and only if so is the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion $\widehat{R}$.*

Proof. Let $R \to \widehat{R}$ be the completion map. First, assume that R is parameter F-closed. Let Q be a parameter ideal of $\widehat{R}$. Then it is well known that if Q is a parameter ideal of $\widehat{R}$, then there is a parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of R such that $Q = \mathfrak{q}\widehat{R}$. Let us prove that $\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R} = (\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R})^F$. Since $\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R} \subseteq (\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R})^F$ quite evidently, we prove the other inclusion $(\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R})^F \subseteq \mathfrak{q}\widehat{R}$. This amounts to showing that $\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R}$ is Frobenius closed. To prove this by contradiction, assume that $\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R}$ is not Frobenius closed. Since $(\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R})^F$ is $\mathfrak{m}$-primary, there is an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal $J \subseteq R$ such that $J\widehat{R} = (\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R})^F$. Then the inclusion $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq J$ is proper and thus, there exists an element $x \in ((\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R})^F \setminus \mathfrak{q}\widehat{R}) \cap R$. From this, we infer that $x^q \in \mathfrak{q}^{[q]}\widehat{R} \cap R = \mathfrak{q}^{[q]}$ for $q = p^e \gg 0$. This implies that $x \in \mathfrak{q}$, because $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}^F$ by the assumption that R is parameter F-closed. This is a contradiction. Hence we get $\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R} = (\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R})^F$. Now we have

$$Q^F = (\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R})^F = \mathfrak{q}\widehat{R} = Q$$

and hence, $\widehat{R}$ is parameter F-closed.

Assume next that $\widehat{R}$ is parameter F-closed. Note that $I^F \subseteq (I\widehat{R})^F \cap R$ for every ideal I of R. Moreover by the faithful flatness of $R \to \widehat{R}$, we have $I = I\widehat{R} \cap R$ for every ideal I of R. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a parameter ideal of R. Then we have $\mathfrak{q}^F \subseteq (\mathfrak{q}\widehat{R})^F \cap R = \mathfrak{q}\widehat{R} \cap R = \mathfrak{q}$, which implies that R is parameter F-closed. $\square$

The deformation property fails for parameter F-closed rings. We are grateful to Linquan Ma for bringing this to our attention and the remark below.

Proposition 6.11. *Let R be the ring as in Example 6.3 with a regular element $y \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then R/yR is parameter F-closed, but R is not parameter F-closed.*

Proof. We have proved that R/yR is F-pure and thus, every parameter ideal of R/yR is Frobenius closed, while we have shown that the parameter ideal (a, x_2^n, x_3^n, x_4^n) of R is not Frobenius closed for $n \gg 0$, where the notation is as in Theorem 6.5. $\square$

Remark 6.12. We show that the class of parameter F-closed local rings and the class of stably FH-finite local rings, are not related to each other.

(1) Let the notation be as in Example 6.3. Then R is stably FH-finite. However, R is not parameter F-closed.
(2) Let us consider the local ring as in [9, Example 2.16]. This ring is Cohen-Macaulay and F-injective. Hence it is parameter F-closed by Theorem 4.19. However, its local cohomology modules are not anti-nilpotent.

7. A characterization of F-injectivity via limit closure

In this section, we give a sufficient and necessary condition of F-injectivity via the notion of limit closure which is defined for any Noetherian local ring. For some studies of the limit closure, we refer the reader to [8], [21] and [28].

7.1. Frobenius action on the top local cohomology.

Definition 7.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring, let M be a finitely generated module with $d = \dim M$ and let $\underline{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_t$ be a part of system of parameters of M. The *limit closure* of $\underline{x}$ in M is defined as a submodule of M:

$$(\underline{x})_M^{\lim} = \bigcup_{n>0} \left((x_1^{n+1}, \ldots, x_t^{n+1})M :_M (x_1 \cdots x_t)^n \right)$$

with the convention that $(\underline{x})_M^{\lim} = 0$ when $t = 0$. If $M = R$, then we simply write $(\underline{x})^{\lim}$.

From the definition, it is clear that $(\underline{x})M \subseteq (\underline{x})_M^{\lim}$.

Remark 7.2. Let the notation be as in Definition 7.1.

(1) The quotient $(\underline{x})_M^{\lim}/(\underline{x})M$ is the kernel of the canonical map $H^t(\underline{x}; M) \cong M/(\underline{x})M \to H^t_{(\underline{x})}(M)$. This implies the following fact. Let $\mathfrak{q} = (x_1, \ldots, x_t)$ and put $\mathfrak{q}_M^{\lim} := (\underline{x})_M^{\lim}$. Hence the notation $\mathfrak{q}_M^{\lim}$ is independent of the choice of $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ which generate $\mathfrak{q}$.
(2) It is known that $(\underline{x})M = (\underline{x})_M^{\lim}$ if and only if $\underline{x}$ forms an M-regular sequence.
(3) It is shown that the Hochster's monomial conjecture is equivalent to the claim that $\mathfrak{q}^{\lim} \neq R$ for every parameter ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of R.

For a sequence $\underline{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_t$ in a ring R, we set $\underline{x}^{[n]} := x_1^n, \ldots, x_t^n$ and let $(\underline{x}^{[n]})$ be the ideal generated by the sequence $\underline{x}^{[n]}$. We study the Frobenius action on the top local cohomology.

Theorem 7.3. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and let $\underline{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_t$ be a sequence of elements of R with $(\underline{x}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. Then we have the following statements.*

(1) *The Frobenius action on the top local cohomology $H^t_{(\underline{x})}(R)$ is injective if and only if $(\underline{x}^{[n]})^F \subseteq (\underline{x}^{[n]})^{\lim}$ for all $n \geq 1$, where $(\underline{x}^{[n]})^F$ is the Frobenius closure of $(\underline{x}^{[n]})$.*
(2) *The Frobenius action on the top local cohomology $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is injective if and only if $\mathfrak{q}^F \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^{\lim}$ for all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q}$.*

Proof. As (2) follows immediately from (1) and the fact that every parameter ideal can be generated by a system of parameters which is a filter regular sequence (cf. [31, Remark 4.5]), it is sufficient to prove (1). As in the proof of Theorem 3.7, we find that the Frobenius action on $H^t_{(\underline{x})}(R)$ is the direct limit of the following commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
R/(\underline{x}) & \longrightarrow & R/(\underline{x}^{[2]}) & \longrightarrow & R/(\underline{x}^{[3]}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
F \downarrow & & F \downarrow & & F \downarrow & & (\star) \\
R/(\underline{x}^{[p]}) & \longrightarrow & R/(\underline{x}^{[2p]}) & \longrightarrow & R/(\underline{x}^{[3p]}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

where each vertical map is the Frobenius and each map in the horizontal direction is multiplication by $(x_1 \cdots x_t)$ or $(x_1 \cdots x_t)^p$ in the corresponding spot. The above diagram induces the following

commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
R/(\underline{x})^{\lim} & \longrightarrow & R/(\underline{x}^{[2]})^{\lim} & \longrightarrow & R/(\underline{x}^{[3]})^{\lim} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
\overline{F}\downarrow & & \overline{F}\downarrow & & \overline{F}\downarrow & & (\star\star) \\
R/(\underline{x}^{[p]})^{\lim} & \longrightarrow & R/(\underline{x}^{[2p]})^{\lim} & \longrightarrow & R/(\underline{x}^{[3p]})^{\lim} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

where each vertical map $\overline{F}$ is induced by F and every horizontal map is injective.

We first prove the "only if" part. Suppose that there is an element $a \in (\underline{x}^{[n]})^F \subseteq (\underline{x})^F$ such that $a \notin (\underline{x}^{[n]})^{\lim}$ for some n. We find that the image $\overline{a}$ of $a + (\underline{x}^{[n]})$ via the map $R/(\underline{x}^{[n]}) \to H^t_{(\underline{x})}(R)$ is non-zero by the injectivity of the horizontal maps in $(\star\star)$. On the other hand, $a \in (\underline{x})^F$ implies that $\overline{a}$ is a nilpotent element under the Frobenius action. Then it contradicts the injectivity of Frobenius action on $H^t_{(\underline{x})}(R)$.

We next prove the "if" part. Suppose that the Frobenius action F_* on $H^t_{(\underline{x})}(R)$ is not injective. Then there is a non-zero element $\overline{a} \in H^t_{(\underline{x})}(R)$ such that $F_*(\overline{a}) = 0$. Applying the exactness of the direct limit for the diagram $(\star)$, there is an element $a \in R$ together with an integer $n > 0$ such that $\overline{a}$ is the canonical image of $a + (\underline{x}^{[n]})$ via the map $R/(\underline{x}^{[n]}) \to H^t_{(\underline{x})}(R)$, and $a + (\underline{x}^{[n]})$ is in the kernel of the map $R/(\underline{x}^{[n]}) \xrightarrow{F} R/(\underline{x}^{[np]})$. Therefore, we have $a^p \in (\underline{x}^{[np]})$ and so $a \in (\underline{x}^{[n]})^F$. However, $\overline{a} \neq 0$ implies that $a \notin (\underline{x}^{[n]})^{\lim}$ and this contradicts the assumption $(\underline{x}^{[n]})^F \subseteq (\underline{x}^{[n]})^{\lim}$. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 7.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be an F-injective local ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Then $\mathfrak{q}^F \subseteq \mathfrak{q}^{\lim}$ for all parameter ideals $\mathfrak{q}$.*

7.2. F-injectivity and Frobenius closure. We now obtain an ideal-theoretic characterization of F-injectivity which is a generalization of Theorem 3.7.

Theorem 7.5. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ and of dimension $d > 0$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(1) *R is F-injective*

(2) *For every filter regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_d$, we have*

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_t)^F \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_t)^{\lim}$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq d$.

(3) *There is a filter regular sequence $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ such that*

$$(x_1^n, \ldots, x_t^n)^F \subseteq (x_1^n, \ldots, x_t^n)^{\lim}$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq d$ and for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is clear since if $x_1, \ldots, x_d$ is a filter regular sequence, then so is $(x_1^n, \ldots, x_d^n)$ for all $n \geq 1$ (cf. Lemma 3.5).

For (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1), the case $t = 0$ implies that $(0)^F \subseteq (0)$, so R is reduced. So the Frobenius is injective on $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$. Moreover by Theorem 7.3, the Frobenius action on $H^d_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ is injective. For $0 < t < d$, the Frobenius action on $H^t_{(x_1, \ldots, x_t)}(R)$ is injective by Theorem 7.3 again. By Nagel-Schenzel's isomorphism, it follows that $H^t_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) \cong H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}\big(H^t_{(x_1, \ldots, x_t)}(R)\big)$ is an F-compatible submodule of $H^t_{(x_1, \ldots, x_t)}(R)$. Hence R is F-injective.

We next show that (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). As in the proof of Theorem 4.19, using the faithful flatness of the Γ-construction: $R \to \widehat{R}^\Gamma \to S := \widehat{\widehat{R}^\Gamma}$, we may assume that R is an F-finite F-injective local ring. Since R is assumed to be F-injective, it is reduced. Therefore, we have the assertion

with $t = 0$. We also have $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)^F \subseteq (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{\lim}$ by Theorem 7.3. So let us consider the case $0 < t < d$. We prove that the Frobenius action on $H^t_{(x_1,\ldots,x_t)}(R)$ is injective. Then the implication $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ follows from Theorem 7.3. Suppose that $\overline{a} \in H^t_{(x_1,\ldots,x_t)}(R)$ is nilpotent under the Frobenius action. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ be such that $(x_1, \ldots, x_t) \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$. Then we find that $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is F-injective by Lemma 3.11. On the other hand, $x_1, \ldots, x_t$ is a regular sequence of $R_\mathfrak{p}$ by Lemma 3.5 (2). By Proposition 3.13 and Corollary 3.14 together with their proofs, the Frobenius action on $\left(H^t_{(x_1,\ldots,x_t)}(R)\right)_\mathfrak{p} \cong H^t_{(x_1,\ldots,x_t)R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p})$ is injective. Hence we have $\operatorname{Supp}_R(R \cdot \overline{a}) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Therefore, $\overline{a} \in H^0_\mathfrak{m}\left(H^t_{(x_1,\ldots,x_t)}(R)\right) \cong H^t_\mathfrak{m}(R)$. Now the F-injectivity of R implies that $\overline{a} = 0$. Thus the Frobenius action on $H^t_{(x_1,\ldots,x_t)}(R)$ is injective. The proof is complete. $\qquad\square$

We return to the example considered in the previous section.

Remark 7.6. Le $d = \dim R$. Then the *unmixed component* of R, which is denoted by $U_R(0)$, is defined to be the largest submodule of R of dimension less than d. If $(0) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(R)} N(\mathfrak{p})$ is a reduced primary decomposition of the zero ideal, then $U_R(0) = \bigcap_{\dim R/\mathfrak{p}=d} N(\mathfrak{p})$. In [8], Cuong and the first author proved the relation: $U_R(0) = \bigcap_\mathfrak{q} \mathfrak{q}^{\lim}$, where $\mathfrak{q}$ runs over all parameters ideals. Now let R be the local ring as in Example 6.3 and we keep the notation. Then R is not equidimensional, $U_R(0) = (t)$ and $b = u^3 z^4 t$ is contained in $U_R(0)$. At the time of writing this article, the authors do not have an example of a ring R for which $U_R(0) = (0)$.

Remark 7.7. It is known that F-injective singularities in characteristic $p > 0$ have close connections with Du Bois singularities in characteristic 0. This connection was studied intensively by Schwede in [33], where it was proved that in characteristic 0, those singularities of dense F-injective type are Du Bois. It was conjectured that the converse is also true (see [38]). More recently, this conjecture has been found to be equivalent to a certain conjecture in arithmetic geometry. This conjecture is considered to reflect deep arithmetic nature of the Frobenius action on sheaf cohomology modules. This was first observed in [29] as a weakened version of the *ordinary varieties* due to Bloch and Kato. Then Bhatt, Schwede and Takagi observed its connection with Du Bois and F-injective singularities and proposed the *weak ordinarity conjecture* in [3]. An interesting observation in [3] is that, using Voevodsky's h-topology and the sheafification of the structure sheaf on the site (a category with a Grothendieck topology) associated to h-topology based on Gabber's idea, Bhatt, Schwede and Takagi have found sheaf theoretic characterizations for both Du Bois and F-injective singularities. It will be interesting to know how our ideal theoretic characterization of F-injectivity is related to the weak ordinarity conjecture.

8. Open problems

We list some open problems in this section.

Problem 1. Let I be a Frobenius closed parameter ideal of a local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ of characteristic $p > 0$. Then is $I^{[q]}$ Frobenius closed for all $q = p^e$?

Problem 1 has an affirmative answer when R is Cohen-Macaulay. It seems to be unknown even when the ring is assumed to be Buchsbaum. This is also related to asking that if there is a Frobenius closed parameter ideal, then is every parameter ideal Frobenius closed?

Problem 2. *Suppose that $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ is an F-injective local ring. Then how does one find Frobenius or non-Frobenius closed parameter ideals?*

Problem 3. *Does there exist a local domain of characteristic $p > 0$ that is F-injective, but has a parameter ideal that is not Frobenius closed?*

We state the deformation problems.

Problem 4 (Deformation problem I). *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be a local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ with a regular element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. Assume that R/xR is F-injective (resp. stably FH-finite). Then is R also F-injective (resp. stably FH-finite)?*

For partial answers related to Problem 4, refer to [19].

Problem 5 (Deformation problem II). *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$ be an equidimensional local ring of characteristic $p > 0$ with a regular element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$. Assume that R/xR is parameter F-closed. Then is R also parameter F-closed?*

We note that the example in Proposition 6.11 is not equidimensional. The authors believe that Problem 5 has a counterexample.

Problem 6. *What about the above problems in the graded case?*

Problem 7. *It was shown that the class of parameter F-closed rings is strictly contained in the class of F-injective local rings. This class contains all F-pure local rings. It then becomes a new member in the family of F-singularities. In view of the correspondence between the singularities of the minimal model program and the singularities defined by Frobenius map, what is the class of the singularities in the minimal model program corresponding to parameter F-closed rings?*

References

[1] B. Bhatt, L. Ma and K. Schwede, *The dualizing complex of F-injective and Du Bois singularities*, Arxiv: 1512.05374v2 [math.AC].

[2] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze, *Projectivity of the Witt vector affine Grassmannian*, Arxiv: 1507.06490 [math.AG].

[3] B. Bhatt, K. Schwede and S. Takagi, *The weak ordinarity conjecture and F-singularities*, to appear in Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics (Kawamata's 60th volume).

[4] M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: An algebraic introduction with geometric applications,* Cambridge University Press. **60** (1998).

[5] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press. **39** (1998), revised edition.

[6] N.T. Cuong, P. Schenzel and N.V. Trung, *Verallgeminerte Cohen-Macaulay Moduln*, Math. Nachr. **85** (1978), 156–177.

[7] N.T. Cuong and P.H. Quy, *A splitting theorem for local cohomology and its applications*, J. Algebra **331** (2011), 512–522.

[8] N.T. Cuong and P.H. Quy, *On the limit closure of sequence of elements in local rings*, preprint 2014, a partial available in Proceeding of the 6-th Japan-Vietnam Joint Seminar on Commutative Algebra, Hayama, Japan 2010, 127–135.

[9] F. Enescu and M. Hochster, *The Frobenius structure of local cohomology*, Algebra & Number Theory **2** (2008), 721–754.

[10] R. Fedder, *F-purity and rational singularity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **278** (1983), 461–480.

[11] O. Gabber, *Notes on some t-structures*, Geometric aspects of Dwork theory, Vol II, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, (2004), 711–734.

[12] S. Goto, *On Buchsbaum rings*, J. Algebra **67** (1980), 272–279.

[13] S. Goto and T. Ogawa, *A note on rings with finite local cohomology*, Tokyo J. Math **6** (1983), 403–411.

[14] S. Goto and K. Yamagishi, *The theory of unconditioned strong d-sequences and modules of finite local cohomology*, unpublished (1986).

[15] D. Hanes, *Special conditions on maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, and applications to the theory of multiplicities*, Thesis, University of Michigan (1999).

[16] M. Hochster, *Cyclic purity versus purity in excellent Noetherian rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **231** (1977), 463–488.

[17] M. Hochster and J. Roberts, *The purity of the Frobenius and local cohomology*, Adv. Math. **21** (1976), 117–172.

[18] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, *Tight Closure, Invariant Theory, and the Briançon-Skoda Theorem*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 31–116.

[19] J. Horiuchi, L.E. Miller and K. Shimomoto, *Deformation of F-injectivity and local cohomology*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **63** (2014), 1139–1157.

[20] C. Huneke, *Tight closure and its applications*, CBMS Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol.**88**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, (1996).

[21] C. Huneke, *Tight closure, parameter ideals, and geometry*, in: *Six Lectures on Commutative Algebra* J. Elias, J.M. Giral, R.M. Miró-Roig, S. Zarzuela (ed.), Progress in Mathematics, vol. 166, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998, 187–239.

[22] S. Iyengar, G.J. Leuschke, A. Leykin, C. Miller, E. Miller, *Twenty-Four Hours of Local Cohomology*, AMS Graduate Studies in Mathematics **87**, 2007.

[23] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974.

[24] S. Kovács and K. Schwede, *Hodge theory meets the minimal model program: a survey of log canonical and Du Bois singularities*, Topology of Stratified Spaces, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 58, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011, pp. 51–94.

[25] E. Kunz, *On Noetherian rings of characteristic p*, Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), 999–1013.

[26] L. Ma, *Finiteness property of local cohomology for F-pure local rings*, Int. Math. Research Notices **20** (2014), 5489–5509.

[27] L. Ma, *F-injectivity and Buchsbaum singularities*, Math. Ann. **362**, (2015) 25–42.

[28] M. Morales and P.H. Quy, *A study of the length function of generalized fractions of modules*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., to appear.

[29] M. Mustaţǎ and V. Srinivas, *Ordinary varieties and the comparison between multiplier ideals and test ideals*, Nagoya. Math. J. **204** (2011), 125–157.

[30] U. Nagel and P. Schenzel, *Cohomological annihilators and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity*, in Commutative algebra: *Syzygies, multiplicities, and birational algebra*, Contemp. Math. **159** (1994), Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I., 307–328.

[31] P.H. Quy, *On the uniform bound of the index of reducibility of parameter ideals of a module whose polynomial type is at most one*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **101** (2013), 469–478.

[32] P. Schenzel, *Applications of dualizing complexes to Buchsbaum rings*, Adv. Math. **44** (1982), 61–77.

[33] K. Schwede, *F-injective singularities are Du Bois*, Amer. J. Math. **131** (2009), 445–473.

[34] K. Schwede and W. Zhang, *Bertini theorems for F-singularities*, London Math. Soc., (2013), 851–874.

[35] A. K. Singh, *Deformation of F-purity and F-regularity*, J. of Pure and Applied Algebra **140** (1999), 137–148.

[36] J. Stückrad and W. Vogel, *Buchsbaum rings and applications*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.

[37] N. Suzuki, *The Koszul complex of Buchsbaum modules*, R.I.M.S. Kôkyuroku **446** (1981), 15–25.

[38] S. Takgai and K. Watanabe, *F-singularities: applications of characteristic p methods to singularity theory*, Sugaku Exposition (2014).

[39] N.V. Trung, *Toward a theory of generalized Cohen-Macaulay modules,* Nagoya Math. J. **102** (1986), 1–49.

Department of Mathematics, FPT University, Hoa Lac Hi-Tech Park, Ha Noi, Viet Nam
E-mail address: `quyph@fpt.edu.vn`

Department of Mathematics, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 156-8550, Japan
E-mail address: `shimomotokazuma@gmail.com`